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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Paddlefish, Polyodon spathula, have been an important fisheries resource in North America since the late 1800's. Despite the fact that the paddlefish is an important sport or commercial fish in several Midwestern and Southeastern states, little is currently known about paddlefish stocks, habitats, movements, distributions, and current exploitation rates. MICRA began a large-scale, multi-agency, coded-wire tag (CWT) paddlefish tag-and-release study in 1995 under a \$200,000 Federal Aid Administrative Funding cooperative agreement. This study initiated a multi-state, multi-year coded wire tagging effort to assess paddlefish stocks throughout the Mississippi River Basin. Long-term goals of the study are to assess paddlefish habitat use, distribution, movements, extent of harvest and exploitation by stock. This multi-agency study is precedent setting; nothing of this magnitude has ever been attempted on an inland, freshwater fishery.

Twenty-two of MICRA's 28 member states have participated in this study by tagging wildcaught adult and hatchery-raised juvenile paddlefish. State and federal agencies completed 1,551 paddlefish sampling trips between 1995 and 2004, exerting nearly 30,000 hours of effort. MICRA participants collected over 22,000 paddlefish from 1995 through 2004. Eighty-seven percent of these fish were marked with coded wire tags and returned to the water. State and federal hatcheries stocked almost 1.8 million paddlefish from 1988 through 2005 with coded wire tags. Average tag retention rate for hatchery stocked fish was $90.4 \%$.

Rough population estimates in sites within each of the major river basins were determined using the Jolly-Seber model. Future Program MARK analyses will use encounter histories for individual fish to provide more precise estimates of paddlefish populations. Basin management plans written in partnership by Paddlefish/Sturgeon Committee members are in various stages of progress. Specific recommendations regarding the future of the stock assessment project will be derived in part from these collective efforts following the March 2006 Paddlefish/Sturgeon committee meeting.

## Recommendations

Develop funding and support mechanisms to support continued coded wire tagging mark and recapture activities.

Analyze mark-recapture data with MARK software
Increase sampling efforts in those areas most likely to produce sufficient recaptures for analysis
Increase or begin sampling efforts in areas where state and federal hatcheries are stocking fish
Improve quality of data from harvested fish where possible
Determine tag retention rate for jawtags

## Disclaimers

This report references all data received and entered into the MICRA Paddlefish Stock Assessment Database as of December 2004. In some cases this includes the state's data from 2004; in most cases the datasets end in 2003. Data from Louisiana's fish sampling efforts in 2002-2004 is present in some of the summary tables as it has been communicated to us. Their data is not available in the database; therefore, it is not covered in the statistical analyses. Data for the Gavins Point tailwater paddlefish population, jointly managed by the states of Nebraska and South Dakota, has been combined at their request.

This summary document provides information on the current status of the MICRA paddlefish stock assessment project. Recommendations provided by the database managers in this document are based on a review of data provided to MICRA. Basin management plans written in partnership by Paddlefish/Sturgeon Committee members are in various stages of progress. Specific recommendations regarding the future of the stock assessment project will be derived in part from these collective efforts following the March 2006 Paddlefish/Sturgeon committee meeting.

Cover Photo: Cliff Wilson, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service fishery biologist holds paddlefish collected by gillnetting in Lower Missouri River. Photo credit: Andy Plauck, U.S. Fish \& Wildlife Service
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## INTRODUCTION

Paddlefish, Polyodon spathula, have been an important fisheries resource in North America since the late 1800's. North American paddlefish were historically abundant throughout Mississippi basin and Gulf coast rivers and existed in some of the Great Lakes during the early 1900s (Carlson and Bonislawsky 1981; Figure 1). Many populations declined by the 1980s due to dam construction, pollution and overexploitation. Paddlefish exist in 22 of the original 26 states where they were known to occur. Surveys completed by biologists in the original 26 states during 1996 showed that populations were believed to be increasing in four states, stable in 10 states, decreasing in five states, extirpated in four states, and in three states the population status was unknown or biologists were not in agreement (Graham 1997).


Figure 1. Historic range and distribution of paddlefish

Paddlefish were listed under the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species and Wild Fauna and Flora treaty (CITES) as an Appendix II species in 1992. Appendix II regulates trade in species not threatened with extinction but which may become threatened if trade goes unregulated. The listing of paddlefish and all sturgeon species makes caviar importation into the United States more difficult and costly. International trade in Appendix II species is allowed only with a CITES permit from the management agency of the exporting nation. Recently paddlefish were subjected to a CITES Significant Trade Review. Following the review, paddlefish were classified as a category 2 species; species for which not enough information had been provided by range states to conclude if CITES is implemented in a way that ensures that international trade is not detrimental to the species. Paddlefish are listed by the IUCN (World Conservation Union) as a VU A3de species. This is a vulnerable species with a projected "population size reduction of $\geq 30 \%$, suspected to be met within three generations, caused by: 1) actual or potential levels of exploitation and 2) the effects of introduced taxa, hybridization, pathogens, pollutants, competitors or parasites (IUCN 2004). Current demand for paddlefish roe as caviar is expected to increase over the coming years as world sturgeon stocks decline due to over exploitation. The Fish and Wildlife Service suspended imports of beluga sturgeon caviar originating in the littoral states of the Caspian Sea and Black Sea on September 30 and October 28, 2005, respectively ( 70 FR 57316, 70 FR 62135). Paddlefish and sturgeon exploitation is expected to increase to meet the domestic demand (Graham and Rasmussen 1999). Both legal and illegal exploitation of paddlefish is a renewed threat as the demand for paddlefish eggs is expected to increase due to declines in world sturgeon stocks.

Paddlefish have a combination of morphology, habits, and life history characteristics which make them extremely sensitive to overexploitation (Boreman 1997). Annual exploitation rates of less than $20 \%$ may be compatible with sustainable paddlefish fisheries. Combs (1982) documented annual exploitation rates of $15.2 \%$ (1979) and $18.8 \%$ (1980) from tag returns in the Neosho River, Oklahoma sport fishery. These harvest levels did not appear to be a detriment to the population. Annual exploitation in sport fisheries of the Yellowstone, Mississippi, Missouri, and Osage rivers often ranged from 8-14\% in the 1960s and 1970s and had generally not been associated with overharvest. Pasch and Alexander (1986) described a historical pattern of overexploitation and recovery of paddlefish populations in the Tennessee River Valley. They suggested that sustainable fisheries are possible at exploitation rates of less than 15-20\%, but expressed concern that increasing pressure from caviar interests would lead to overharvest. The effects of increased caviar pressure were documented by Hoffnagle and Timmons (1989) in the lower Tennessee River paddlefish population. High egg prices ( $\$ 110 / \mathrm{kg}$ ) in 1985 resulted from a United States ban on Iranian caviar in the late 1970s. The lower Tennessee River paddlefish population was young with few spawning adults and $69 \%$ total annual mortality. Reduced caviar pressure by the 1991-1992 season resulted in a $22 \%$ annual mortality rate and a $14 \%$ exploitation rate (Timmons and Hughbanks 2000). The Kentucky Lake population was further examined by Scholten and Bettoli (2005) in 2004. The lake provides on average $80 \%$ of Tennessee paddlefish harvest and a large portion of U.S. commercially harvested paddlefish. Total annual mortality in their study was 68 percent. As natural mortality of paddlefish is usually less than 10\% (Timmons and Hughbanks 2000), this indicates recent exploitation rates were high. Scholten and Bettoli (2005) recommended an increase in minimum length limit to allow female paddlefish to reach reproductive sizes and to reduce recruitment overfishing.

Despite the fact that the paddlefish is an important sport or commercial fish in several Midwestern and Southeastern states, little is currently known about paddlefish stocks, habitats, movements, distributions, and current exploitation rates. There has been concern that paddlefish harvested in one state may, in fact, have been produced in another state or river where the species is listed as protected. In an effort to address this concern the 28 member states of the Mississippi Interstate Cooperative Resource Association (MICRA) established a Paddlefish/Sturgeon Committee in the fall of 1992. One of the main objectives of the MICRA Paddlefish/Sturgeon Committee is to encourage development and implementation of regulations and policies to optimize paddlefish resources in the Mississippi River Basin.

MICRA began a large-scale, multi-agency, coded-wire tag (CWT) paddlefish tag-and-release study in 1995 under a $\$ 200,000$ Federal Aid Administrative Funding cooperative agreement. This study initiated a multi-state, multi-year coded wire tagging effort to assess paddlefish stocks throughout the Mississippi River Basin. The tagging protocols and original dBase database structure were developed by Tennessee Wildlife Resources Agency in cooperation with Northwest Marine Technology, Inc. and Tennessee Technological University, respectively. The tag processing and database management were transferred to the Fish and Wildlife Service's Carterville, Illinois and Columbia, Missouri Fishery Resources Offices in 1997. Modifications were made to project datasheets to both reduce the number of pages required by field biologists and to clarify code usage per request of project participants (Grady and Conover 1998). The database was transferred to Microsoft ACCESS in 2004 to increase direct usability by project participants. Structured query language (SQL) programming to link the histories of recaptured
paddlefish was completed by the Delta Systems Group, Inc. in 2005. The tag processing portion of the project was moved from Carterville, Illinois to Columbia, Missouri in 2005. Reducing project responsibilities to a single facility will speed tag reading and data processing to benefit project participants.

Long-term goals of the study are to assess paddlefish habitat use, distribution, movements, extent of harvest and exploitation by stock. This multi-agency study is precedent setting; nothing of this magnitude has ever been attempted on an inland, freshwater fishery. The purpose of this study was to further understanding of the habitat requirements and population status of paddlefish across the Mississippi River Basin. Twenty-two of MICRA's 28 member states have participated in this study by tagging wild-caught adult and hatchery-raised juvenile paddlefish according to procedures outlined in Oven (1995), Oven and Fiss (1996) and Grady and Conover (1998). Northwest Marine Technology contracted Lars Mobrand of Mobrand Biometrics, Inc. in 1998 to assess the paddlefish project. Lars uses the Ecosystem Diagnosis and Treatment Method (EDT) to model salmon populations through their ecosystem. The method uses a species or population and information about its life history to diagnose an ecosystem's condition for sustainability (Lestelle et al. 1996; Mobrand et al. 1997). Lars identified the following data needs and objectives for our project:

- A lack of knowledge of young fish needed for cohort analysis
- Need for significant multiple recaptures to make population estimates
- Need to determine specific habitats within spawning areas
- Need to identify and map discrete population boundaries and core populations of fish
Determine reproductive success
- Determine "limiting factors" and habitat requirements for paddlefish throughout their life history
Develop routed spatial network (to capture geography in database).
Lars identified the need to continue the paddlefish stock assessment project for an additional five years to increase paddlefish recaptures and develop additional data. Project participants worked on maps to identify distinct populations and divided the basin into five sub-basins: Gulf Rivers, Missouri Basin, Upper Mississippi Basin, Lower Mississippi Basin and Ohio Basin.
Additionally, workgroups from each of these Basins identified specific management units within these Basins. In some project areas, sampling efforts were continued or expanded to meet the project needs identified by Mobrand Biometrics. Many of the information needs such as information about young fish, determining spawning sites and reproductive success were outside the scope of the MICRA project and still need to be addressed in order to consider using that population tool.

The objectives of this document are to provide a summary of wild fish sampling and tagging efforts, hatchery stocking activities, and tag recovery data for 1995 through 2004.

## SAMPLING

## Effort

State and federal agencies in 19 states completed 1,551 paddlefish sampling trips between 1995 and 2004, exerting nearly 30,000 hours of effort (Table 1). The Mississippi Basin experienced the most sampling effort at 656 trips. This number is due in part to the large size of the basin. While the Gulf Rivers had the smallest number of individual trips (234); the number of trips by state was highest for this sub-basin. While the number of sampling trips in Nebraska and South Dakota appear small; few trips were needed to capture the target goal of 300 fish per year as fish were concentrated below Gavins Point Dam.

Table 1. Number of sampling trips completed by MICRA participants to assess paddlefish stocks from 1995 to 2004. GP indicates the jointly managed Gavins Point Dam fishery. (Italics indicate information received from biologists but not in MICRA database).

| State | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gulf Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LA | 2 | 20 | 20 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 18 | 4 | 5 |
| OK | - | - | - | 12 | - | 5 | 2 | 14 | 9 | 2 |
| TX | - | 19 | 61 | 19 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mississippi Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AR | 6 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| IA | 1 | 15 | 28 | 28 | 18 | 9 | 21 | 33 | 22 | 22 |
| IL | 37 | 7 | 25 | 13 | 18 | 15 | 18 | 14 | 11 | 16 |
| LA | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| MN | 31 | 25 | - | 8 | - | 6 | - | 49 | 1 | - |
| MO | - | 2 | 4 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MS | - | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| OK | 3 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 5 | - |
| TN | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| WI | 18 | 5 | 16 | 16 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 9 | - |
| Missouri Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IA | 1 | - | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - |
| KS | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| MO | 16 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 10 | 5 | 15 | 10 | - |
| GP | 17 | 15 | 18 | 14 | 16 | 8 | 4 | 9 | 7 | - |
| NE | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - |
| SD | - | 8 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - |
| Ohio Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IL | 6 | 15 | 17 | 22 | 28 | 21 | 18 | 19 | 14 | 19 |
| IN | 9 | 24 | 13 | 16 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| KY | 9 | 23 | 19 | 22 | 26 | 13 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 5 |
| OH | 2 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| PA | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TN | 18 | 16 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| WV | - | - | 3 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |

Biologists primarily sampled for paddlefish in those areas where they can most efficiently collect paddlefish in an effort to increase recaptures of tagged fish. Project participants had committed themselves to collecting and tagging 300 wild fish per state per year. Sampling effort was highest during late winter and early spring months (February through May) with paddlefish catch during a given month being proportionately similar to the amount of effort expended (Figure 2).


Figure 2. Percent of total sampling effort and catch by month for MICRA paddlefish stock assessment project, 1995-2004.

Sampling effort by participating agencies was greatest in main channel and tailwater habitats for the Mississippi, Missouri, and Ohio River basins (Figure 3). The bulk of effort within the Mississippi basin were spread across main channel (37.7\%), tailwater (33.9\%), and backwater ( $21.8 \%$ ) habitats. Nearly $58 \%$ of effort in the Missouri basin took place in tailwater habitats while $24.4 \%$ occurred in main channels. Effort in the Ohio basin was similar to the Missouri with $28.7 \%$ of effort in main channel habitats and $37.2 \%$ in tailwater areas. The majority of effort in the Gulf basin took place in main channel (58.9\%) and backwater habitats ( $16.4 \%$ ).


Figure 3. Percent of total sampling effort by habitat type and basin for MICRA paddlefish stock assessment project, 1995-2004.

Similar to previous reports, the vast majority of sampling effort was made with nets (Figure 4). More than $85 \%$ of the total sampling efforts in all four basins (Mississippi $-85.7 \%$; Missouri $92.6 \%$; Ohio $-94.6 \%$; Gulf $-98.6 \%$ ) were nets. Snagging was used for $13.4 \%$ and $4.3 \%$ of the total sampling effort in the Mississippi and Missouri basins, respectively. Electrofishing accounted for $4.7 \%$ of the total sampling effort in the Ohio basin.


Figure 4. Percent of total sampling efforts by gear and basin for MICRA paddlefish stock assessment project, 1995-2004.

## Catch

MICRA participants collected over 22,000 paddlefish from 1995 through 2004 (Table 2).
Eighty-seven percent of these fish were marked with coded wire tags and returned to the water (Table 3). There are several reasons for the differences in numbers between total catch and fish marked and released. Oklahoma has opted to only place coded wire tags in hatchery stocked fish and jawtag their adult fish. Some agencies sample areas repeatedly within a season and recapture fish marked with fin clips unique to that area. These fish are not remarked with coded wire tags. In some cases, the difference between total catch and fish released with coded wire tags is due to broodstock removal from the system, equipment failure or fish mortality.

Table 2. Number of paddlefish collected by state and federal agencies for the MICRA paddlefish stock assessment project, 1995-2004. GP indicates the jointly managed Gavins Point Dam fishery. (Italics indicate information received from biologists but not in MICRA database).

| State | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gulf Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LA | 29 | 182 | 220 | 53 | 143 | 89 | 65 | 130 | 60 | 51 | 1061* |
| OK | - | - | - | 25 | - | 62 | 25 | 139 | 134 | 38 | 423 |
| TX | - | 29 | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 36 |
| Mississippi Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AR | 16 | 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 45 |
| IA | 2 | 207 | 120 | 368 | 179 | 36 | 216 | 482 | 347 | 363 | 2320 |
| IL | 119 | 320 | 239 | 209 | 475 | 355 | 124 | 142 | 247 | 231 | 2461 |
| LA | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 15 | I | 14 | 0 | 16* |
| MN | 6 | 9 | - | - | - | 5 | - | 16 | 3 | - | 39 |
| MO | - | 5 | 26 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 45 |
| MS | - | 23 | 20 | 18 | 48 | 24 | - | - | - | - | 133 |
| OK | 128 | 18 | 144 | 15 | 45 | 36 | 73 | 65 | 65 | - | 589 |
| TN | 203 | 7 | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | 218 |
| WI | 17 | 76 | 163 | 145 | 74 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | - | 496 |
| Missouri Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IA | 11 | - | 50 | 51 | 12 | 141 | 0 | 14 | 16 | - | 295 |
| KS | - | 4 | - | 84 | - | 45 | - | - | - | - | 133 |
| MO | 158 | - | - | 1 | - | 11 | 7 | 17 | 19 | - | 213 |
| GP | 729 | 719 | 920 | 626 | 741 | 246 | 330 | 523 | 490 | - | 5324 |
| NE | - | 28 | 19 | 51 | - | 19 | - | 76 | 24 | - | 217 |
| SD | - | 75 | - | 19 | 4 | 44 | 44 | 18 | 15 | - | 219 |
| Ohio Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IL | 13 | 87 | 177 | 298 | 281 | 256 | 510 | 432 | 400 | 277 | 2731 |
| IN | 245 | 428 | 315 | 386 | 326 | 105 | 119 | 31 | 33 | 112 | 2100 |
| KY | 221 | 155 | 183 | 304 | 259 | 753 | 321 | 287 | 1 | 147 | 2631 |
| OH | 6 | 90 | 103 | 36 | 134 | - | - | 132 | 7 | 12 | 520 |
| TN | 105 | 70 | 26 | 16 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 218 |
| WV | - | - | 6 | 29 | 26 | - | - | - | - | - | 61 |
| TOTAL | 2008 | 2564 | 2737 | 2770 | 2747 | 2228 | 1843 | 2375 | 1819 | 1180 | 22544 |

* LA collected 280 paddlefish in Gulf Rivers and 13 fish in the Mississippi Basin 1990-1994.

Table 3. Number of paddlefish collected by state and federal agencies, marked with coded wire tags, and released as part of the MICRA paddlefish stock assessment project, 1995-2004. GP indicates the jointly managed Gavins Point Dam fishery. (Italics indicate information received from biologists but not in MICRA database). Note Oklahoma tagged wild adult fish with jawtags and not coded wire tags.

| State | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gulf Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LA | 29 | 174 | 192 | 53 | 39 | 42 | 31 | 54 | 39 | 38 | 531 |
| OK | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| TX | - | 27 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 28 |
| Mississippi Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AR | 7 | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | 31 |
| IA | 2 | 188 | 108 | 306 | 154 | 35 | 188 | 434 | 320 | 343 | 2078 |
| IL | 119 | 320 | 229 | 200 | 471 | 353 | 124 | 142 | 246 | 221 | 2425 |
| LA | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 15 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 3 |
| MN | 5 | 9 | - | - | - | 5 | - | 13 | 3 | - | 35 |
| MO | - | 5 | 25 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 44 |
| MS | - | 17 | 20 | 18 | 41 | 24 | - | - | - | - | 120 |
| OK | - | - | 72 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 73 |
| TN | 203 | 7 | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | 218 |
| WI | 17 | 69 | 137 | 90 | 65 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 | - | 394 |
| Missouri Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IA | 11 | - | 50 | 51 | 12 | 140 | 0 | 14 | 16 | - | 294 |
| KS | - | 4 | - | 8 | - | 45 | - | - | - | - | 57 |
| MO | 158 | - | - | 1 | - | 9 | 6 | 6 | 18 | - | 198 |
| GP | 682 | 686 | 895 | 611 | 711 | 242 | 324 | 457 | 486 | - | 5094 |
| NE | - | 23 | 19 | 51 | - | 19 | - | 73 | 24 | - | 209 |
| SD | - | 75 | 0 | 19 | 4 | 44 | 44 | 18 | 14 | - | 218 |
| Ohio Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IL | 13 | 85 | 168 | 277 | 271 | 254 | 502 | 179 | 389 | 253 | 2391 |
| IN | 245 | 428 | 310 | 359 | 318 | 94 | 104 | 27 | 30 | 40 | 1955 |
| KY | 221 | 155 | 182 | 280 | 242 | 719 | 317 | 242 | - | 137 | 2495 |
| OH | 6 | 89 | 102 | 35 | 129 | - | - | 117 | 7 | - | 485 |
| TN | 102 | 53 | 21 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 187 |
| WV | - | - | 6 | 28 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | 54 |
| TOTAL | 1778 | 2441 | 2537 | 2413 | 2477 | 2026 | 1649 | 1723 | 1567 | 994 | 19605 |

Paddlefish catches by habitat were proportionately similar to efforts expended. Catches in the Mississippi basin totaled over 6,300 fish, and were spread across main channel (41.6\%), tailwater ( $45.8 \%$ ), and backwater ( $8.1 \%$ ) habitats (Figure 5). Of 6,394 paddlefish captured in the Missouri basin, $87.7 \%$ were captured in tailwater habitats while $7.1 \%$ were caught in main channels (Figure 5). Projects in the Ohio captured 8,227 paddlefish with $46.7 \%$ caught in tailwater habitats and $18.2 \%$ in main channel areas (Figure 5). The majority of paddlefish captured in the Gulf basin were caught in main channel (49.4\%) and backwater habitats (26.3\%; Figure 5). Hoxmeier and DeVries (1997) examined paddlefish habitat use in the Lower Alabama

River. Paddlefish primarily inhabited backwater areas in summer and fall and shifted to channel areas during winter and spring.


Figure 5. Percent of total paddlefish catch by habitat type and basin for MICRA paddlefish stock assessment project, 1995-2004.

## Catch-per-unit-effort

Tables 4-10 give catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) by gear type for each state in each basin for every year of the study. A total of 29,924 hours of paddlefish sampling effort were expended by states in all four study basins (Gulf - 7,523 hr; Mississippi - 9,169 hr; Missouri - 3,786 hr; Ohio $9,445 \mathrm{hr}$ ) since 1995. The lowest basin-wide CPUE for selected gears was nearly zero for hobbled gill nets in the Gulf basin. Catch-per-unit-effort for hobbled gill nets ranged from 0.9 in the Ohio Basin to 15.5 paddlefish per hour in the Missouri basin (Tables 4 and 5). The highest basin-wide CPUE was for trammel nets in the Missouri River basin with an average of 33 paddlefish per hour across all years of the study. This high average was primarily due to sampling the concentrations of paddlefish below Gavins Point Dam on the South Dakota Nebraska border. Nebraska was also responsible for the highest individual state/gear/year CPUE with 95 paddlefish per hour in trammel nets in 1997 (Table 6). Basin-wide CPUE for trammel nets was lowest in the Ohio basin with 0.2 paddlefish per hour across years. Gill net CPUE ranged from 0.2 paddlefish per hour in the Mississippi basin to 5.7 paddlefish per hour in the Missouri basin (Tables 7 and 8). The high CPUE for gill nets in the Missouri Basin is also due to the success of Nebraska Game and Parks Commission and South Dakota Department of

Game, Fish and Parks at collecting paddlefish concentrated below Gavins Point Dam. Snagging as a sampling technique is practiced almost exclusively by Iowa Department of Natural Resources. On average, CPUE for snagging was 1.95 fish per hour in the Mississippi River and 1.05 fish per hour in the Missouri River from 1995 through 2004 (Table 9). Electrofishing was used as a sampling tool by several Ohio Basin states and once each in Missouri and Kansas. It appears to be a highly effective means of capturing fish as Ohio Basin states averaged 11.9 paddlefish per hour from 1995 through 2004 (Table 10). However, it is not used routinely by most agencies due to the potential for harming the fish (Scarnecchia et al 1999). Paddlefish are also occasionally captured and reported from rotenone lock surveys, trawls and hoop nets. Hoop nets are generally not used to target paddlefish species and may be detrimental to them.
Dieterman et al (2000) estimated that one paddlefish would be killed for every 37 net-days of hoop net effort within the Lower Missouri River.

Table 4. Hobbled gill net CPUE for Ohio River Basin states 1995-2004.

|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effort (hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IL | - | - | 33.1 | 176.4 | 144.4 | 84.9 | 71.7 | 48.6 | - |  |
| IN | - | - | 1200.4 | 1.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| KY | - | - | - | 44.1 | 12.5 | 3.3 | 4.7 | - | - | - |
| OH | - | 271.1 | 174.8 | 76.3 | 240.5 | - | - | 30.6 | - | 87.9 |
| TN | 310.0 | 262.9 | 75.3 | 76.1 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| Basin | 310.0 | 534.0 | 1483.6 | 373.9 | 397.4 | 88.2 | 76.4 | 79.2 | - | 87.9 |
| Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IL | - | - | 174 | 283 | 276 | 172 | 486 | 81 | - | - |
| IN | - | - | 61 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| KY | - | - | - | 14 | 18 | 5 | 68 | - | - | - |
| OH | - | 90 | 103 | 36 | 134 | - | - | 28 | - | 12 |
| TN | 88 | 67 | 15 | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Basin | 88 | 157 | 353 | 356 | 428 | 177 | 554 | 109 | - | 12 |
| CPUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IL | - | - | 5.3 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 6.8 | 1.7 | - | - |
| IN | - | - | 0.1 | 7.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| KY | - | - | - | 0.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 14.6 | - | - | - |
| OH | - | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | - | - | 0.9 | - | 0.1 |
| TN | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Basin | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 7.3 | 1.4 | - | 0.1 |

Table 5. Hobbled gill net CPUE for Gulf Rivers, Mississippi River Basin, and Missouri River Basin states 1995-2004.

|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effort (hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gulf | - | - | 1306.9 | 1529.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TX | - | - | 1306.9 | 1529.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MS Basin | 355.1 | 372.0 | 175.9 | 36.9 | 9.6 | 36.2 | 9.8 | 374.4 | 76.6 | 22.1 |
| AR | 338.9 | 301.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| IL | - | - | - | - | 6.0 | 25.0 | 8.7 | 20.5 | 10.1 | 22.1 |
| MN | 16.2 | 64.0 | - | 16.2 | - | 3.9 | - | 342.7 | 2.5 | - |
| OK | - | - | 128.8 | - | - | - | - | - | 4.5 | - |
| TN | - | - | 5.4 | - | - | - | 1.1 | - | - | - |
| WI | - | 6.4 | 41.7 | 20.8 | 3.6 | 7.3 | - | 11.2 | 59.6 | - |
| MO Basin | 39.8 | 17.4 | 22.9 | 73.3 | - | 249.2 | 8.8 | - | - | - |
| MO | - | - | - | - | - | 237.6 | - | - | - | - |
| SD | 39.8 | 17.4 | 22.9 | 73.3 | - | 11.6 | 8.8 | - | - | - |
| Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gulf | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TX | - | - | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MS Basin | 20 | 89 | 279 | 108 | 50 | 353 | 73 | 17 | 301 | 185 |
| AR | 16 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| IL | - | - | - | - | 44 | 353 | 65 | 0 | 245 | 185 |
| MN | 4 | 9 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 16 | 3 | - |
| OK | - | - | 116 | - | - | - | - | - | 35 | - |
| TN | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - |
| WI | - | 66 | 163 | 108 | 6 | 0 | - | 1 | 18 | - |
| MO Basin | 120 | 101 | 355 | 303 | - | 49 | 77 | - | - | - |
| MO | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| SD | 120 | 101 | 355 | 303 | - | 48 | 77 | - | - | - |
| CPUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gulf | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TX | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MS Basin | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 5.2 | 9.7 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 8.4 |
| AR | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| IL | - | - | - | - | 7.4 | 14.1 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 24.3 | 8.4 |
| MN | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 1.2 | - |
| OK | - | - | 0.9 | - | - | - | - | - | 7.8 | - |
| TN | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | 7.1 | - | - | - |
| WI | - | 10.3 | 3.9 | 5.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 | - | 0.1 | 0.3 | - |
| MO Basin | 3.0 | 5.8 | 15.5 | 4.1 | - | 0.2 | 8.8 | - | - | - |
| MO | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| SD | 3.0 | 5.8 | 15.5 | 4.1 | - | 4.1 | 8.8 | - | - | - |

Table 6. Trammel net CPUE for Mississippi River Basin, Missouri River Basin and Ohio River Basin states 1995-2004.

|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Effort (hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MS Basin | 382.8 | 106.5 | 267.1 | 172.6 | 101.1 | 6.6 | 42.7 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 18.1 |
| IL | 374.4 | 106.5 | 267.1 | 172.6 | 101.0 | 6.2 | 42.7 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 18.1 |
| MN | 2.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WI | 6.1 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - |
| MO Basin | 4.9 | 7.4 | 79.5 | 8.0 | 7.6 | 1.7 | - | 2.4 | 0.5 | - |
| IA | - | - | 73.3 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | - | 1.1 | 0.5 | - |
| NE | 0.9 | 7.4 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 5.9 | - | - | 1.4 | - | - |
| SD | 4.0 | - | - | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| OH Basin | 19.8 | - | - | 3.1 | - | 7.0 | 7.3 | - | - | - |
| IL | 19.8 | - | - | - | - | 7.0 | 7.3 | - | - | - |
| IN | 0.0 | - | - | 3.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MS Basin | 166 | 266 | 429 | 261 | 282 | 16 | 77 | 13 | 3 | 49 |
| IL | 161 | 266 | 429 | 261 | 280 | 14 | 77 | 13 | 3 | 49 |
| MN | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WI | 4 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| MO Basin | 56 | 391 | 623 | 301 | 371 | 110 | - | 90 | 1 | - |
| IA | - | - | 39 | 19 | 10 | 110 | - | 14 | 1 | - |
| NE | 45 | 391 | 584 | 282 | 361 | - | - | 76 | - | - |
| SD | 11 | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| OH Basin | 0 | - | - | 3 | - | 0 | 0 | - | - | - |
| IL | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | - | - |
| IN | 0 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| IN |  |  |  | CPUE |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| MS Basin | 0.4 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 9.0 | 2.7 |
| IL | 0.4 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 9.0 | 2.7 |
| MN | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WI | 0.7 | - | - | - | 15.0 | 4.8 | - | - | - | - |
| MO Basin | 11.4 | 53.2 | 7.8 | 37.7 | 48.6 | 66.0 | - | 37.0 | 2.0 | - |
| IA |  | - | 0.5 | 10.4 | 5.7 | 66.0 | - | 12.9 | 2.0 | - |
| NE | 48.2 | 53.2 | 95.0 | 49.2 | 61.4 | - | - | 56.3 | - | - |
| SD | 2.8 | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| OH Basin | 0.0 | - | - | 1.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| IL | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
|  | - | - | 1.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Table 7. Gill net CPUE for Gulf Rivers and Mississippi River Basin states 1995-2004.

|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | Effort (hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gulf | - | 557.2 | 335.1 | 97.3 | 836.0 | 525.2 | 824.0 | 725.1 | 526.8 | 230.5 |
| LA | - | 246.1 | 335.1 | 8.0 | 836.0 | 406.9 | 789.0 | - | - | - |
| OK | - | - | - | 89.3 | - | 118.3 | 35.0 | 725.1 | 526.8 | 230.5 |
| TX | - | 311.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MS Basin | 510.4 | 918.9 | 725.1 | 931.4 | 824.4 | 496.2 | 491.4 | 190.1 | 233.8 | - |
| IL | 10.1 | 8.3 | 0.9 | - | 9.8 | 0.8 | - | 0.8 | - | - |
| LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | 256.5 | - | - | - |
| MN | 97.9 | 23.8 | - | 6.7 | - | 7.9 | - | 12.1 | - | - |
| MO | - | 42.7 | 11.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MS | - | 341.3 | - | - | 403.7 | - | - | - | - | - |
| OK | 379.5 | 503.0 | 713.0 | 869.5 | 392.8 | 480.9 | 231.5 | 177.0 | 233.8 | - |
| WI | 23.0 | - | - | 55.3 | 18.0 | 6.6 | 3.4 | 0.3 | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gulf | - | 194 | 169 | 25 | 143 | 151 | 90 | 139 | 134 | 38 |
| LA | - | 174 | 169 | 1 | 143 | 89 | 65 | - | - | - |
| OK | - | - | - | 24 | - | 62 | 25 | 139 | 134 | 38 |
| TX | - | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MS Basin | 136 | 97 | 40 | 52 | 330 | 42 | 74 | 67 | 27 | - |
| IL | 6 | 56 | 0 | - | 188 | 0 | - | 2 | - | - |
| LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| MN | 2 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 5 | - | 0 | - | - |
| MO | - | 5 | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MS | - | 18 | - | - | 29 | - | - | - | - | - |
| OK | 128 | 18 | 28 | 15 | 45 | 36 | 73 | 65 | 27 | - |
| WI | 0 | - | - | 37 | 68 | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | CPUE |  |  |  | - | - |  |
| Gulf | - | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| LA | - | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | - | - |
| OK | - | - | - | 0.3 | - | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| TX | - | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MS Basin | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | - |
| IL | 0.6 | 6.8 | 0.0 | - | 19.1 | 0.0 | - | 2.7 | - | - |
| LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| MN | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.6 | - | 0.0 | - | - |
| MO | - | 0.1 | 1.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MS | - | 0.1 | - | - | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| OK | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | - |
| WI | 0.0 | - | - | 0.7 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8. Gill net CPUE for Missouri River Basin and Ohio River Basin states 1995-2004.

|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Effort (hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MO Basin | 180.6 | 30.8 | 3.0 | 5.7 | 28.3 | 95.2 | 65.5 | 256.2 | 1817.1 | - |
| MO | 69.8 | - | 3.0 | 2.0 | - | 43.6 | 46.9 | 209.2 | 1794.1 | - |
| NE | 37.2 | 5.3 | - | 2.2 | 11.6 | 6.3 | 18.6 | 3.6 | 3.5 | - |
| SD | 73.6 | 25.5 | - | 1.5 | 16.7 | 45.3 | - | 43.4 | 19.5 | - |
| OH Basin | 214.6 | 2683.8 | 521.9 | 615.8 | 543.7 | 368.9 | 274.3 | 249.2 | 97.0 | 324.5 |
| IL | 6.8 | 23.5 | - | - | - | 37.7 | 9.6 | 66.0 | 31.8 | 199.4 |
| IN | 196.0 | 2016.4 | 232.7 | 303.7 | 107.2 | 181.6 | 189.8 | 23.3 | 55.3 | 79.4 |
| KY | - | 558.4 | 289.2 | 268.1 | 407.4 | 149.6 | 74.8 | 70.1 | - | 45.8 |
| OH | 9.5 | 54.8 | - | - | - | - | - | 89.9 | 9.9 | - |
| PA | - | 30.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TN | 2.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WV | - | - | - | 44.0 | 29.1 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MO Basin | 571 | 290 | 0 | 93 | 372 | 240 | 255 | 537 | 528 | - |
| MO | 76 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 6 | 2 | 12 | 14 | - |
| NE | 299 | 83 | - | 24 | 74 | 174 | 253 | 290 | 324 | - |
| SD | 196 | 207 | - | 69 | 298 | 60 | - | 235 | 190 | - |
| OH Basin | 107 | 456 | 309 | 559 | 370 | 882 | 354 | 773 | 429 | 524 |
| IL | 4 | 35 | - | - | - | 30 | 24 | 351 | 389 | 277 |
| IN | 98 | 376 | 197 | 253 | 132 | 105 | 96 | 31 | 33 | 100 |
| KY | - | 45 | 112 | 278 | 221 | 747 | 234 | 287 | - | 147 |
| OH | 5 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 104 | 7 | - |
| PA | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TN | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WV | - | - | - | 28 | 17 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | CPUE |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| MO Basin | 3.2 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 13.1 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 0.3 | - |
| MO | 1.1 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | - |
| NE | 8.0 | 15.7 | - | 10.9 | 6.4 | 27.8 | 13.6 | 80.6 | 93.0 | - |
| SD | 2.7 | 8.1 | - | 47.6 | 17.9 | 1.3 | - | 5.4 | 9.7 | - |
| OH Basin | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 4.4 | 1.6 |
| IL | 0.6 | 1.5 | -- | - | - | 0.8 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 12.3 | 1.4 |
| IN | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 1.3 |
| KY | - | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 3.1 | 4.1 | - | 3.2 |
| OH | 0.5 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 1.2 | 0.7 | - |
| PA | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TN | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WV | - | - | - | 0.6 | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9. Snagging CPUE for Mississippi River Basin and Missouri River Basin states 1995-2004.

|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effort (hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MS Basin | 91.0 | 208.8 | 96.3 | 152.0 | 131.3 | 46.5 | 119.5 | 150.8 | 124.0 | 110.3 |
| IA | 24.0 | 199.3 | 96.3 | 152.0 | 131.3 | 46.5 | 119.5 | 150.8 | 124.0 | 110.3 |
| IL | 22.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TN | 44.6 | 9.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MO Basin | 400.0 | - | 4.0 | - | 20.0 | 10.7 | 31.5 | - | 32.0 | - |
| IA | 400.0 | - | 4.0 | - | 20.0 | 10.7 | 31.5 | - | 32.0 | - |
| Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MS Basin | 213 | 209 | 108 | 294 | 179 | 36 | 206 | 482 | 347 | 363 |
| IA | 2 | 202 | 108 | 294 | 179 | 36 | 206 | 482 | 347 | 363 |
| IL | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TN | 203 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MO Basin | 11 | - | 11 | - | 2 | 31 | 0 | - | 15 | - |
| IA | 11 | - | 11 | - | 2 | 31 | 0 | - | 15 | - |
| CPUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MS Basin | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3.3 |
| IA | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3.3 |
| IL | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TN | 4.5 | 0.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MO Basin | 0.0 | - | 2.8 | - | 0.1 | 2.9 | 0.0 | - | 0.5 | - |
| IA | 0.0 | - | 2.8 | - | 0.1 | 2.9 | 0.0 | - | 0.5 | - |

Table 10. Electrofishing CPUE for Missouri River and Ohio River Basin states 1995-2004.

|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effort (hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OH Basin | 19.0 | 16.7 | 10.4 | 15.6 | 5.6 | 2.4 | - | - | 0.8 | 2.0 |
| IL | 5.2 | 6.3 | 0.5 | 2.0 | - | 2.4 | - | - | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| IN | 13.8 | 10.1 | 2.3 | 9.5 | 4.9 | - | - | - | - | 2.0 |
| KY | - | 0.4 | 7.6 | 4.1 | 0.7 | - | - | - | - | - |
| TN | - | 2.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MO Basin | - | - | - | 1.5 | - | - | 0.9 | - | - | - |
| KS | - | - | - | 1.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MO | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.9 | - | - | - |
| Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OH Basin | 156 | 107 | 99 | 137 | 141 | 52 | - | - | 11 | 3 |
| IL | 9 | 52 | 3 | 7 | - | 52 | - | - | 11 | - |
| IN | 147 | 52 | 57 | 123 | 128 | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| KY | - | 2 | 39 | 7 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - |
| TN | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MO Basin | - | - | - | 84 | - | - | 4 | - | - | - |
| KS | - | - | - | 84 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MO | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - |
| CPUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OH Basin | 8.2 | 6.4 | 9.5 | 8.8 | 25.1 | 21.5 | - | - | 14.0 | 1.5 |
| IL | 1.7 | 8.3 | 6.0 | 3.5 | - | 21.5 | - | - | 14.0 | - |
| IN | 10.6 | 5.2 | 25.3 | 12.9 | 26.2 | - | - | - | - | 1.5 |
| KY | - | 5.0 | 5.1 | 1.7 | 17.7 | - | - | - | - | - |
| TN | - | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MO Basin | - | - | - | 54.8 | - | - | 4.5 | - | - | - |
| KS | - | - | - | 54.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MO | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4.5 | - | - | - |

Catch-per-unit-effort was also examined for each bar mesh size (inches) for the three types of nets used in this study in each basin (Tables 11 and 12). Highest mean CPUE across all basins was 63.5 paddlefish per hour for 3-in. mesh trammel nets in the Missouri Basin, 14.4 paddlefish per hour for $3.25-\mathrm{in}$. mesh gill nets in the Mississippi Basin, and 6.3 paddlefish per hour for 3.5in. mesh hobbled gill nets in the Missouri Basin. The best average catch rate in the Ohio Basin was 3.0 fish per hour in 4-in. hobbled gill nets (Table 12).

Table 11. CPUE of paddlefish for trammel net bar mesh sizes (inches) in each river basin 1995-2004.

| Mesh (bar in.) | MISS | MO | OH | GULF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effort (hour) |  |  |  |  |
| 2.5 | 0.4 | - | - | - |
| 2.75 | 1.1 | - | - | - |
| 3 | 357.0 | 27.4 | 13.2 | - |
| 3.25 | 25.8 | - | - | - |
| 3.5 | 136.8 | 84.6 | - | - |
| 4 | 482.1 | - | 21.3 | - |
| 5 | 90.5 | - | 2.6 | - |
| Catch |  |  |  |  |
| 2.5 | 3 | - | - | - |
| 2.75 | 19 | - | - | - |
| 3 | 378 | 1739 | 0 | - |
| 3.25 | 73 | - | - | - |
| 3.5 | 482 | 204 | - | - |
| 4 | 203 | - | 1 | - |
| 5 | 16 | - | 2 | - |
| CPUE |  |  |  |  |
| 2.5 | 7.2 | - | - | - |
| 2.75 | 17.5 | - | - | - |
| 3 | 1.1 | 63.5 | 0.0 | - |
| 3.25 | 2.8 | - | - | - |
| 3.5 | 3.5 | 2.4 | - | - |
| 4 | 0.4 | - | 0.0 | - |
| 5 | 0.2 | - | 0.8 | - |

Table 12. CPUE of paddlefish for gill net and hobbled gill net mesh sizes for each basin 1995 - 2004.

| Bar Mesh (inches) | Gill Nets |  |  |  | Hobbled Gill Nets |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GULF | MISS | MO | OH | GULF | MISS | MO | OH |
| Effort (hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.75 | - | 8.8 | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | - | 8.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1.25 | - | 8.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1.5 | - | 8.8 | 632.6 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | - | 8.8 | 647.2 | 11.3 | - | - | - | - |
| 3 | 8.0 | 151.6 | 688.3 | 26.0 | - | 54.6 | 59.3 | 4.0 |
| 3.25 | - | 1.3 | - | 2.0 | - | - | - | - |
| 3.5 | - | 101.4 | 92.2 | 14.2 | - | 234.6 | 104.2 | 1.0 |
| 4 | 203.0 | 1495.2 | 811.7 | 275.6 | - | 86.3 | - | 404.2 |
| 4.25 | - | - | 7.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5 | 3501.1 | 3292.1 | 23.2 | 5420.9 | 2836.8 | 821.5 | 10.3 | 2944.4 |
| 6 | 893.3 | 222.3 | 1.0 | 22.2 | - | 142.9 | - | 69.6 |
| 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7.3 |
| 8 | 22.5 | 7.5 | - | - | - | 128.8 | - | - |
| Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.75 | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1.25 | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1.5 | - | 0 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | - | 0 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 3 | 4 | 42 | 2232 | 10 | - | 8 | 357 | 0 |
| 3.25 | - | 18 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| 3.5 | - | 189 | 533 | 21 | - | 234.6 | 104.2 | 1.0 |
| 4 | 21 | 111 | 96 | 398 | - | 86.3 | - | 404.2 |
| 4.25 | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5 | 881 | 486 | 14 | 4428 | 7 | 600 | 7 | 1097 |
| 6 | 129 | 17 | 0 | 59 | - | 63 | - | 21 |
| 7 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| 8 | 33 | 13 | - | - | - | 116 | - | 0 |
| CPUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.75 | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1.25 | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1.5 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - |
| 3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 0.4 | - | 0.1 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
| 3.25 | - | 14.4 | - | 1.5 | - | - | - | - |
| 3.5 | - | 1.9 | 5.8 | 1.5 | - | 3.5 | 6.3 | 1.0 |
| 4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.4 | - | 0.1 | - | 3.0 |
| 4.25 | - | - | 1.1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| 6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.7 | - | 0.4 | - | 0.3 |
| 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 |
| 8 | 1.5 | 1.7 | - | - | - | 0.9 | - | - |

## PADDLEFISH SIZE COMPARISONS

Data from healthy paddlefish with lengths and weights were queried from the ACCESS database and imported to SAS for analysis. As the data did not approximate a normal population, the body lengths were log transformed before analysis. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Duncan's multiple range test were used to test for significant differences in mean paddlefish body length between states, basins, habitats, and gear. While all models showed significant differences between the classes tested, all results had low R squared values, indicating that the models explained little of the differences in mean lengths. This is a common problem in large datasets with large standard deviations (Zar 1996).

## By Basin

Mean lengths of paddlefish were compared within and between each of the four general MICRA basins (Table 13). In the Gulf Basin, a Duncan multiple range test revealed Oklahoma paddlefish are significantly larger ( 832.4 mm ) than those in Louisiana and Texas (761.1 and 795.0 mm respectively). In the Mississippi Basin, Wisconsin and Oklahoma have the largest paddlefish ( 933.8 and 873.1 mm respectively), whereas, Missouri paddlefish are significantly smaller ( 587.9 mm ) than all other states in the basin. In the Missouri Basin, South Dakota paddlefish are the largest at 931.8 mm , whereas Missouri paddlefish are the smallest at 644.6 mm . In the Ohio Basin, Tennessee and West Virginia paddlefish are the largest (844.8 and 822.3 mm respectively) and Illinois paddlefish are the smallest ( 719.5 mm ). Overall, paddlefish collected in the Missouri Basin have the largest mean body length ( 803.5 mm ), followed by the Gulf ( 784.8 mm ) and Mississippi basins ( 698.6 mm ) with the Ohio Basin ( 759.8 mm ) being the smallest.

Table 13. Comparison of mean lengths of healthy paddlefish collected from different states within each basin 1995 - 2004 (All $\mathbf{P}<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 1}$ ). GP indicates the paddlefish fishery below Gavins Point Dam which is jointly managed by the states of Nebraska and South Dakota. Duncan groupings with the same letters indicate comparisons that are not significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \geq 0.05$ ), whereas groupings with different letters are significantly different ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ).

| Basin | State | N | Mean Length | Std Deviation | Range | Duncan grouping |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GULF | LA | 766 | 761.1 | 114.1 | 410-1320 | B |
|  | OK | 376 | 832.4 | 92.5 | 400-1160 | A |
|  | TX | 22 | 795.0 | 124.2 | 340-965 | B |
| MISS | AR | 39 | 624.2 | 101.9 | 410-810 | CD |
|  | IA | 2045 | 637.1 | 152.8 | 240-1400 | CD |
|  | IL | 2081 | 682.3 | 127.8 | 165-1124 | C |
|  | LA | 11 | 539.7 | 164.9 | 372-890 | E |
|  | $\mathrm{MN}$ | 24 | 850.1 | 152.1 | 599-1105 | AB |
|  | MO | 7 | 587.9 | 76.3 | 470-686 | D |
|  | MS | 80 | 778.0 | 114.9 | 337-1035 | B |
|  | OK | 546 | 873.1 | 160.1 | 465-1550 | A |
|  | TN | 200 | 496.5 | 77.1 | 360-780 | E |
|  | WI | 425 | 933.8 | 119.7 | 452-1210 | A |
| MO | GP | 4274 | 805.2 | 122.7 | 280-1310 | C |
|  | IA | 261 | 744.1 | 101.6 | 381-1054 | D |
|  | KS | 65 | 836.4 | 87.4 | 607-1040 | B |
|  | MO | 67 | 644.6 | 152.1 | 177-994 | E |
|  | NE | 208 | 807.5 | 105.8 | 563-1221 | C |
|  | SD | 124 | 931.8 | 156.0 | 545-1342 | A |
| OHIO | IL | 1939 | 719.5 | 134.1 | 168-1130 | C |
|  | IN | 1680 | 786.0 | 143.3 | 368-1150 | B |
|  | KY | 1793 | 771.8 | 111.2 | 319-1054 | B |
|  | OH | 310 | 763.2 | 96.9 | 390-1050 | B |
|  | TN | 100 | 844.8 | 115.9 | 415-1140 | A |
|  | WV | 43 | 822.3 | 91.1 | 620-980 | A |
| ALL | GULF | 1164 | 784.8 | 112.7 | 340-1320 | B |
|  | MISS | $5458$ | 698.6 | 173.1 | 165-1550 | D |
|  | MO | 4999 | 803.5 | 125.8 | 177-1342 | A |
|  | OHIO | 5865 | 759.8 | 131.6 | 168-1150 | C |

## By Habitat

The habitat stratum codes identified by field biologists were grouped into three major categories; river, reservoir, and backwater. River habitat consisted of samples collected in the main channel or main channel border, side channel or side channel border, tributary mouth/confluence, and tailwater zone (e.g. below Gavins Point Dam) of a river or stream. Reservoir habitat consisted of samples collected in offshore or shoreline areas of reservoirs, as well as in natural occurring lakes. Backwater habitat consisted of samples collected in backwater areas of rivers and reservoirs including contiguous, isolated, offshore, and shoreline backwaters.

In each of the four basins, paddlefish collected from reservoir habitat had a significantly larger mean body length then those collected in backwater or river habitat (Table 14). Overall, paddlefish collected from reservoir habitat had a mean body length of 838.0 mm , significantly larger than paddlefish collected in backwater $(768.6 \mathrm{~mm})$ and river $(749.4 \mathrm{~mm})$ habitats $(\mathrm{P}<$ 0.0001; Table 14)).

Table 14. Comparison of mean lengths of healthy paddlefish collected from different habitats within each basin 1995 - 2004 (All $\mathbf{P}<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 1}$ ). Duncan groupings with the same letters indicate comparisons that are not significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \geq 0.05$ ), whereas groupings with different letters are significantly different ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ).

| Basin | Habitat | N | Mean Length | Std <br> Deviation | Range | Duncan <br> Grouping |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| GULF | Backwater | 383 | 722.5 | 107.55 | $410-985$ | C |
|  | Reservoir | 31 | 852.0 | 138.11 | $680-1320$ | A |
|  | River | 757 | 806.0 | 95.91 | $340-1270$ | B |
| MISS | Backwater | 432 | 691.1 | 119.44 | $337-1075$ | B |
|  | Reservoir | 311 | 824.4 | 165.07 | $510-1550$ | A |
|  | River | 4963 | 685.6 | 180.71 | $9-1400$ | B |
| MO | Backwater | 40 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Reservoir | 11 | 1153.2 | 179.88 | $805-1370$ | A |
|  | River | 5071 | 805.3 | 130.37 | $177-1408$ | B |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OHIO | Backwater | 1402 | 805.5 | 131.73 | $214-1150$ | B |
|  | Reservoir | 37 | 846.7 | 99.77 | $610-1020$ | A |
|  | River | 5254 | 747.7 | 126.05 | $168-1311$ | C |
| ALL | Backwater | 2257 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Reservoir | 390 | 768.6 | 134.45 | $214-1150$ | C |
|  | River | 16045 | 749.0 | 167.09 | $510-1550$ | A |

## By Gear

Mean lengths of paddlefish were compared among the most common gear types (electrofishing (EF), combination of gill nets and trammel nets (NETS), and snagging (SNAG); Table 15) and, more specifically, net types (gill nets, hobbled gill nets, and trammel nets; Table 16).

Nets captured significantly larger paddlefish ( 779.7 mm ) than electrofishing and snagging ( 673.7 and 624.8 mm respectively; $\mathrm{P}<0.0001$; Table 15). Specifically, gill nets captured significantly larger paddlefish ( 795.7 mm ) than hobbled gill nets $(776.2 \mathrm{~mm})$ and trammel nets $(749.5 \mathrm{~mm}$; P < 0.0001; Table 16). A comparison of mesh sizes for gill and trammel nets reveals that, in general, as mesh size increases mean body length of paddlefish increases. For trammel nets, 3 inch bar mesh captured the largest paddlefish whereas 2.75 inch bar mesh captured the smallest (Table 17). For gill nets, the body length of paddlefish increased linearly as mesh size increased ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.2423$; Table 18). For hobbled gill nets, 8 inch bar mesh collected the largest paddlefish ( 945.6 mm ) with 4 inch bar mesh collecting the smallest ( 722.2 mm ; Table 19).

Table 15. Comparison of mean lengths of paddlefish collected with different gears (electrofishing (EF), combination of gill nets and trammel nets (NETS), and snagging (SNAG) 1995-2004 (P<0.0001). Duncan groupings with the same letters indicate comparisons that are not significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \geq 0.05$ ), whereas groupings with different letters are significantly different ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ).

| Gear | $\mathbf{N}$ | Mean Length | Std Error | Duncan Grouping |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EF | 950 | 673.7 | 4.8 | B |
| NETS | 17832 | 779.1 | 1.1 | C |
| SNAG | 2803 | 624.8 | 2.8 | A |

Table 16. Comparison of mean lengths of paddlefish collected with different nets 1995 - 2004 ( $\mathrm{P}<0.0001$ ). Duncan groupings with the same letters indicate comparisons that are not significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \geq 0.05$ ), whereas groupings with different letters are significantly different ( $\mathbf{P}<0.05$ ).

| Gear | N | Mean Length | Std Error | Duncan Grouping |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gill Nets | 9784 | 795.7 | 1.4 | C |
| Hobbled Gill Nets | 4960 | 776.2 | 2.0 | B |
| Trammel Nets | 3125 | 749.5 | 2.5 | A |

Table 17. Comparison of mean lengths of paddlefish collected with different trammel net mesh sizes 1995 2004 ( $\mathbf{P}<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 1}$ ). Duncan groupings with the same letters indicate comparisons that are not significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \geq 0.05$ ), whereas groupings with different letters are significantly different ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ).

| Trammel <br> Mesh | $\mathbf{N}$ | Mean Length | Std Error | Duncan Grouping |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 2.75 | 19 | 543.2 | 31.9 | A |
| 3 | 2117 | 777.8 | 3.0 | F |
| 3.25 | 73 | 638.1 | 16.3 | AB |
| 3.5 | 686 | 692.1 | 5.3 | DE |
| 4 | 204 | 713.8 | 9.7 | CE |
| 5 | 18 | 676.9 | 32.8 | BCD |

Table 18. Comparison of mean lengths of paddlefish collected with different gill net mesh sizes 1995 - 2004 ( $\mathbf{P}<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 1}$ ). Duncan groupings with the same letters indicate comparisons that are not significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \geq 0.05$ ), whereas groupings with different letters are significantly different ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ).

| Gill <br> Mesh | $\mathbf{N}$ | Mean Length | Std Error | Duncan Grouping |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1.5 | 5 | 474.8 | 57.7 | AH |
| 2 | 4 | 699.3 | 64.6 | ABCDEFG |
| 3 | 2237 | 800.9 | 2.7 | BLM |
| 3.25 | 21 | 616.7 | 28.2 | CH |
| 3.5 | 736 | 753.3 | 4.8 | DI |
| 4 | 626 | 772.6 | 5.2 | EIJ |
| 4.25 | 8 | 1276.4 | 45.6 | O |
| 5 | 5805 | 799.4 | 1.7 | FKM |
| 6 | 205 | 790.5 | 9.0 | GJKL |
| 8 | 46 | 924.9 | 19 | N |

Table 19. Comparison of mean lengths of paddlefish collected with different hobbled gill net mesh sizes 1995 -2004 ( $\mathbf{P}<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0 1}$ ). Duncan groupings with the same letters indicate comparisons that are not significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \geq 0.05$ ), whereas groupings with different letters are significantly different ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ).

| Hobbled <br> Mesh | $\mathbf{N}$ | Mean Length | Std Error | Duncan Grouping |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 3 | 356 | 824.4 | 8.2 | A |
| 3.5 | 1477 | 754.6 | 4 | B |
| 4 | 1201 | 722.2 | 4.5 | D |
| 5 | 1694 | 782.5 | 3.8 | C |
| 6 | 84 | 784.7 | 16.9 | ABC |
| 8 | 116 | 945.6 | 14.4 | E |

## Length Frequency

A variety of gear types were used throughout the participating MICRA states (Table 20). Overall, gill nets and hobbled gill nets captured 795.7 and 776.2 mm paddlefish respectively, whereas electrofishing, seines, and snagging captured $673.7,696.6$, and 624.8 mm paddlefish respectively (Table 20). Figures 6 through 9 below compare the four most common sampling methods (snagging, trammel nets, gill nets, and hobbled gill nets) within each of the four major MICRA basins (Gulf, Mississippi, Missouri, and Ohio).
Overall, gill nets and hobbled gill nets catch a more uniform range of paddlefish sizes and were more successful at collecting a larger number of paddlefish than other gear types (Figures 6-9); however, this could be because gill nets and hobbled gill nets contributed to over $50 \%$ of all the effort in each of the basins (Figure 4).

Table 20. Mean body length ( $\mathrm{mm} \pm$ standard deviation) of paddlefish separated by gear and by basin.

| Gear | Basin |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gulf | Mississippi | Missouri | Ohio | Overall |
| Electrofishing | - | $\begin{array}{r} 608.0(195.16) \\ \mathrm{N}=88 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 756.6(98.01) \\ \mathrm{N}=2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 665.3(181.24) \\ \mathrm{N}=860 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 673.7(177.09) \\ \mathrm{N}=950 \end{array}$ |
| Gill Nets | $\begin{array}{r} 789.6(101.89) \\ \mathrm{N}=1056 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 794.8(195.37) \\ \mathrm{N}=2833 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 804.3(134.06) \\ \mathrm{N}=941 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 792.3(113.79) \\ \mathrm{N}=4954 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 795.7(128.75) \\ \mathrm{N}=9784 \end{array}$ |
| Hobbled Gill Nets | $\begin{array}{r} 815.3(217.03) \\ \mathrm{N}=7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 789.3(155.47) \\ \mathrm{N}=1036 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 818.6(136.87) \\ \mathrm{N}=1621 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 747.6(117.81) \\ \mathrm{N}=2296 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 776.2(138.19) \\ \mathrm{N}=4960 \end{array}$ |
| Seine | - | $\begin{array}{r} 699.1(114.07) \\ \mathrm{N}=4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 679.8(32.84) \\ \mathrm{N}=27 \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 696.6(106.90) \\ \mathrm{N}=31 \end{array}$ |
| Snagging | $\begin{array}{r} 871.0(135.76) \\ \mathrm{N}=2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 613.1(159.01) \\ \mathrm{N}=244 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 733.8(171.74) \\ \mathrm{N}=2502 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 663.8(87.68) \\ \mathrm{N}=55 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 624.8(162.82) \\ \mathrm{N}=2803 \end{array}$ |
| Trammel Nets | - | $\begin{array}{r} 656.8(125.02) \\ \mathrm{N}=1948 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 805.5(127.47) \\ \mathrm{N}=1174 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 612.3(35.22) \\ \mathrm{N}=3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 749.5(145.59) \\ \mathrm{N}=3125 \end{array}$ |
| Unknown | $\begin{array}{r} 761.3(122.50) \\ \mathrm{N}=341 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 770.4(189.96) \\ \mathrm{N}=115 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 803.4(176.81) \\ \mathrm{N}=37 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 818.8(152.39) \\ \mathrm{N}=10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 772.7(143.58) \\ \mathrm{N}=503 \end{array}$ |
| Combined | 783.8 (107.68) | 696.4 (178.21) | 805.2 (136.81) | 765.7 (130.12) | 758.3 (151.92) |

Gulf Basin
Paddlefish were collected using gill nets, hobbled gill nets, and snagging, as well as other unidentified methods. Figure 6 below illustrates the size distribution of paddlefish collected with snagging, gill nets, and hobbled gill nets. Gill nets had the highest catch rate in this basin ( $\bar{x}=$ $789.6 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{N}=1056$ ).


Figure 6. Percent length frequency distributions for paddlefish collected by snagging, gill nets, and hobbled gill nets in the Gulf Basin.

## Mississippi Basin

In the Mississippi Basin paddlefish were collected with electrofishing, gill nets, hobbled gill nets, seines, snagging, and trammel nets, as well as with other unidentified methods. Among the most common methods, mean body length for paddlefish caught by snagging and trammel nets was 613.1 mm and 656.8 mm respectively and 794.8 and 789.3 mm for gill nets and trammel nets respectively. Gill nets and hobbled gill nets collected the greatest number and widest range of paddlefish sizes (Figure 7).

Mississippi River Basin


Figure 7. Percent length frequency distributions for paddlefish collected by snagging, trammel nets, gill nets, and hobbled gill nets in the Mississippi River Basin.

## Missouri Basin

The most common methods for collecting paddlefish in the Missouri Basin were snagging, trammel nets, gill nets, and hobbled gill nets. Among these, trammel, gill, and hobbled gill nets caught the greatest number and widest range of paddlefish sizes (Figure 8).


Figure 8. Percent length frequency distributions for paddlefish collected by snagging, trammel nets, gill nets, and hobbled gill nets in the Missouri River Basin.

## Ohio Basin

Gill nets and hobbled gill nets caught the greatest number and widest variety of paddlefish sizes in the Ohio basin (Figure 9). However, relative to gill and hobbled gill nets there was little effort using snagging and trammel nets (Figure 4).

Ohio River Basin


Figure 9. Percent length frequency distributions for paddlefish collected by snagging, trammel nets, gill nets, and hobbled gill nets in the Ohio River Basin.

## PADDLEFISH CONDITION (Wr)

Analysis of relative condition is a refined method for comparing populations by substituting population-specific length-weight relationships with a standard for the species (Anderson and Neumann 1996; Ney 1999). Therefore, the condition (Wr) of a fish can be determined by comparing the weight of the fish with its length relative to some standard. Ney (1999) noted that standard weight (Ws) equations have been published for more than 40 freshwater fish species. Brown and Murphy (1993) developed standard weight equations for paddlefish based on captures throughout the Midwestern United States. They found that sexual dimorphism was apparent in paddlefish weight and body length and, therefore, developed standard weight equations for male, female, and combined paddlefish. They recommended the use of sex-defined standards for calculation of standard weight whenever possible; however, this was not possible with the MICRA data. Because most fish in the MICRA database were not identified as either male or female, we used the combined paddlefish standard weight calculation, as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log _{{ }_{10} W s}=-5.027+3.092 \times \log _{10} B L \\
W r=\frac{W}{W s} \times 100
\end{gathered}
$$

Where Ws is the standard weight (g), -5.027 is the intercept, 3.092 is the slope (allometric growth), and BL is the fork length ( mm ). In the second equation, Wr is the relative weight (condition) and is determined by the ratio between weight (W) and standard weight (Ws) multiplied by 100 . A fish that is at optimum condition will have a Wr equal to 100 . When Wr is less than 100 the fish is considered to have a less than optimum length to weight ratio that could be a result of seasonality, sex, lack of food, age, or competition. When Wr is 100 or greater, the fish is considered to be in excellent condition (Anderson and Neumann 1996).

Relative weights of paddlefish were examined for locations within each basin where fish were consistently and routinely sampled by project biologists. These locations included the BayouNezpique (GFBN) and Mermentau Rivers (GFME), Missouri River below Gavins Point Dam (MOGP), Mississippi River in Pools 13 and 14 (MSP1) and Pool 26 (MSP2), Ohio River in Myers Pool (OHMP) and Smithland Pool (OHSP), and throughout the Wabash River (WABA). Mississippi River pools 13 and 14 were combined in this analysis because of general proximity and low sample size.

Many of these areas are also of interest due to the potential impacts of Asian carp species on the condition of paddlefish. Schrank et al (2003) examined the competitive interactions between age0 bighead carp and age-0 paddlefish in mesocosms. Both large river species are planktivores with similar gill raker spacing. Age-0 paddlefish exhibited the greatest decrease in relative growth when bighead carp were present indicating carp can negatively affect paddlefish growth when food resources are limited.

Paddlefish catches varied among each of the five locations (Table 21); however, mean Wr (Table 22 ) did not change significantly over time ( $\mathrm{R}<0.16$ ). Paddlefish collected from the Ohio River at Smithland Pool (OHSP) had the highest mean Wr ( $\bar{x}=90.69, \mathrm{SE} \pm 0.09$; Table 22), whereas,
paddlefish collected from the Missouri River below Gavins Point Dam (MOGP) had the lowest mean Wr ( $\bar{x}=75.67, \mathrm{SE} \pm 0.05$; Table 22).

Table 21. Number of paddlefish collected from 1990 to 2004 from locations on the Gulf Coast in the BayouNezpique (GFBN) and Mermentau Rivers (GFME), Missouri River below Gavins Point Dam (MOGP), Mississippi River in Pools 13 and 14 (MSP1) and Pool 26 (MSP2), Ohio River in Myers Pool (OHMP) and Smithland Pool (OHSP), and throughout the Wabash River (WABA).

|  | GFBN | GFME | MOGP | MSP1 | MSP2 | OHMP | OHSP | WABA |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1990 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 5 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 22 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1994 | 48 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 28 | 0 | 703 | 2 | 22 | 136 | 0 | 118 |
| 1996 | 0 | 169 | 734 | 197 | 222 | 285 | 43 | 57 |
| 1997 | 18 | 148 | 917 | 96 | 156 | 253 | 18 | 170 |
| 1998 | 0 | 52 | 606 | 289 | 168 | 333 | 113 | 314 |
| 1999 | 139 | 4 | 722 | 145 | 322 | 151 | 146 | 389 |
| 2000 | 51 | 0 | 236 | 36 | 354 | 71 | 708 | 192 |
| 2001 | 36 | 0 | 328 | 204 | 116 | 88 | 682 | 110 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 475 | 401 | 141 | 0 | 8 | 101 |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 | 489 | 295 | 238 | 0 | 23 | 129 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 260 | 227 | 0 | 258 | 55 |
| Total | 349 | 482 | 5210 | 1925 | 1966 | 1317 | 1999 | 1635 |

Table 22. Mean ( $\pm$ SE) Wr of paddlefish collected from 1990 to 2004 from locations on the Gulf Coast in the Bayou-Nezpique (GFBN) and Mermentau Rivers (GFME), Missouri River below Gavins Point Dam (MOGP), Mississippi River in Pools 13 and 14 (MSP1) and Pool 26 (MSP2), Ohio River in Meyers Pool (OHMP) and Smithland Pool (OHSP), and throughout the Wabash River (WABA).

|  | GFBN | GFME | MOGP | MSP1 | MSP2 | OHMP | OHSP | WABA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | - | $\begin{aligned} & 84.96 \\ & (1.47) \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1991 | $\begin{gathered} 85.49 \\ (4.58) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91.11 \\ & (1.17) \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1992 | $\begin{gathered} 90.13 \\ (1.57) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93.15 \\ (1.21) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1993 | $\begin{gathered} 86.93 \\ (1.50) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1994 | $\begin{gathered} 89.64 \\ (1.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85.23 \\ (1.54) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1995 | $\begin{gathered} 86.88 \\ (1.71) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 76.97 \\ & (0.52) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90.22 \\ (5.27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89.53 \\ & (2.28) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90.09 \\ & (0.98) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 79.32 \\ (1.19) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1996 | (17) | $\begin{gathered} 85.53 \\ (0.68) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72.67 \\ (0.44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82.35 \\ & (0.56) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79.5 \\ (0.63) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87.69 \\ (0.64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94.85 \\ (3.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76.87 \\ (1.45) \end{array}$ |
| 1997 | $\begin{array}{r} 78.4 \\ (2.04) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81.44 \\ (0.60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73.51 \\ (0.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83.7 \\ (0.74) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84.7 \\ (0.71) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87.11 \\ (0.57) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93.49 \\ & (2.83) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78.75 \\ (0.79) \end{array}$ |
| 1998 | - | $\begin{gathered} 75.38 \\ (1.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71.29 \\ (0.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77.15 \\ (0.38) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84.1 \\ (0.70) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83.77 \\ & (0.57) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87.46 \\ (0.90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78.96 \\ & (0.63) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1999 | $\begin{gathered} 80.84 \\ (0.76) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79.98 \\ (3.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73.46 \\ & (0.48) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82.78 \\ (0.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82.7 \\ (0.57) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87.13 \\ & (0.89) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82.52 \\ & (0.83) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84.81 \\ & (0.65) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2000 | $\begin{gathered} 84.26 \\ (0.97) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 70.89 \\ & (0.75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73.16 \\ (1.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85.28 \\ (0.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88.05 \\ (1.13) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86.05 \\ & (0.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71.96 \\ (0.65) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2001 | $\begin{gathered} 82.22 \\ (0.94) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 88.65 \\ & (0.96) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83.48 \\ & (0.68) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92.72 \\ & (0.94) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 98.59 \\ (1.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79.79 \\ (0.30) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72.35 \\ (1.00) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2002 | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 78.77 \\ (0.66) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82.24 \\ & (0.40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93.12 \\ & (0.86) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 126.06 \\ (14.47) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79.5 \\ (1.25) \end{array}$ |
| 2003 | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 74.83 \\ (0.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79.47 \\ (0.57) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85.01 \\ & (0.73) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 89.01 \\ & (2.88) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86.39 \\ (1.29) \end{array}$ |
| 2004 | - | - | - | $\begin{array}{r} 96.59 \\ (0.61) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 92.34 \\ (0.90) \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77.01 \\ (0.60) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73.71 \\ (0.90) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean ( $\pm$ SE) | $\begin{aligned} & 84.98 \\ & (0.21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84.60 \\ & (0.18) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75.67 \\ (0.05) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83.11 \\ (0.09) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86.90 \\ (0.09) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88.92 \\ & (0.11) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90.69 \\ & (0.09) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78.26 \\ (0.10) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

Figures 9-16 below contain box plots comparing Wr of paddlefish collected from 1990 to 2004 from locations in the Bayou-Nezpique (GFBN) and Mermentau Rivers (GFME), Missouri River below Gavins Point Dam (MOGP), Mississippi River in pools 13 and 14 (MSP1) and pool 26 (MSP2), Ohio River in Myers Pool (OHMP) and Smithland Pool (OHSP), and throughout the Wabash River (WABA). The box represents the interquartile range, the solid black line indicates the median, and vertical lines represent the range of observed values. Mild outliers, as described by Freund and Wilson (2003), are illustrated by the open circles and extreme outliers have been removed from the dataset. Differences in mean Wr between years for each location where tested using an analysis of variance and post hoc groupings were made using a Duncan multiple range test. Duncan groupings are indicated by the letters above each year. Years with the same letters indicate comparisons that are not significantly different ( $\mathrm{P} \geq 0.05$ ), whereas years with different letters are significantly different ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ).


Figure 10. Box plot of relative weight (Wr) by year for paddlefish collected in the Bayou-Nezpique River (GFBN).


Figure 11. Box plot of relative weight (Wr) by year for paddlefish collected in the Mermentau River (GFME).


Figure 12. Box plot of relative weight (Wr) by year for paddlefish collected in the Missouri River below Gavins Point Dam (MOGP).


Figure 13. Box plot of relative weight (Wr) by year for paddlefish collected in Pools 13 and 14 of the Mississippi River (MSP1).


Figure 14. Box plot of relative weight (Wr) by year for paddlefish collected in Pool 26 of the Mississippi River (MSP2).


Figure 15. Box plot of relative weight (Wr) by year for paddlefish collected in Myers Pool of the Ohio River (OHMP).


Figure 16. Box plot of relative weight (Wr) by year for paddlefish collected in Smithland pool of the Ohio River (OHSP).


Figure 17. Box plot of relative weight (Wr) by year for paddlefish collected throughout the Wabash River (WABA).

## HATCHERY STOCKED FISH

State and federal hatcheries stocked just under 1.8 million paddlefish from 1988 through 2005 with coded wire tags (Figure 17; Table 23). Forty-four percent were stocked by the state of Texas to re-establish paddlefish populations in that state. Thirty-five percent supplemented reservoir fisheries in Missouri, Oklahoma, and the Dakotas. Five percent were stocked to reestablish populations in the Upper Ohio Basin tributary rivers.


Figure 18. Hatchery releases from 1988 to 2004. All paddlefish were tagged with coded wire tags.

State and federal hatcheries stocked 29763 fish without tags for various reasons, including better than expected production of fish and equipment failure. When we add the number of fish presumed untagged due to tag retention estimates, 217684 fish were stocked without coded-wire tags from 1988 through 2004. These recaptured fish were presumed of wild origin.

## Tag Retention Rates

The ability to retain CWTs in hatchery-reared paddlefish through the stocking process is paramount to the success of this project. Pitman and Isaac (1995) documented CWT loss from paddlefish at $29 \%$ for tags in the tip of the rostrum and $4 \%$ for tags in the side of the rostrum for fish held in concrete raceways for 72 hours. Paddlefish in intensive culture operations tend to injure their rostrum by constantly striking it against the tank walls. Guy et al (1996) determined tag loss was $77 \%$ for paddlefish held 51 days in rectangular fiberglass tanks but only $3 \%$ for fish held 96 days in ponds. They also recommended CWTs be implanted 2 mm deep into the tip of the rostrum, slightly off the central axis to allow biologists and anglers to minimize the portion of the rostrum removed for tag retrieval. MICRA recommended tagging paddlefish slightly off center in the rostrum tip (Heinricher Oven 1995). While more benign tag recovery is possible from areas such as the dorsal fin or opercular flap reduced tag retention and longer fish handling times during tagging outweighed those options (Fries 2001).

Waters et al (1997) found the relative position of coded wire tags in paddlefish rostrums changed from $9.7 \%$ of the total rostrum length to $18.6 \%$ as a function of rostrum growth rate. This tag movement would probably be highest in age- 0 paddlefish and decline as the age of the tagged fish increases. This indicates biologists would need to scan a slightly larger area further up the rostrum when examining a fish for tags.

Short-term retention rates were recorded for 67 of the 479 stocking events reported to MICRA. Retention rates varied from 35 to 100 percent. The $35 \%$ values were reported for two stockings of fish in Kansas in 1994. Fish from these stockings have large knob-like rostrum tips from tank culture. These low retention rates may be due to rostrum condition. The overall average shortterm retention rate for MICRA stockings (not including Kansas fish) is 90.3\%.

Missouri Department of Conservation (personal communication, Trish Yasger, Missouri Department of Conservation) found paddlefish retention rates of $98.7 \%$ in fish kept in hatchery ponds for eight months. Mortality of the fish was high ( $66 \%$ ) due to bird predation. While 500 fish were stocked into two ponds for the retention test, only 168 fish remained in the pond after eight months. One hundred sixty-six paddlefish retained their coded wire tags.

Tag retention rates were assigned to hatchery stockings in the following fashion (Table 24). If a hatchery reported a retention rate for a specific date and other lots of fish were tagged on the same date, the same rate was applied. If retention rates are available for the stocking year, mean retention rate from the same stocking year was applied to each stocking. In South Dakota, average stocking retention rates were used for stockings prior to the MICRA collection effort. Average MICRA retention rate was used when retention wasn't reported after 1995. Missouri increased quality control of their tagging procedures in 2000. The MICRA average was used prior to 2000 while the pond retention rate was used for 2000 and beyond. In the event a state has not reported any retention rates for their hatcheries, the average of the MICRA stocking events ( $90.3 \%$ ) was used.

## Assessing Contributions of Hatchery Stocks

State and federal hatchery managers are eager to learn what impact their efforts are having on the nation's paddlefish populations. Paddlefish are stocked in states to either bolster rare or extirpated populations or to maintain reservoir populations in areas which would not adequately support paddlefish spawning and reproduction.

New York stocked 3353 paddlefish in the Kinzua reservoir between 1998 and 2001. Advice solicited from the committee membership regarding the parameters of New York's stocking program included both support for continued stocking and suggestion to increase the numbers of fish stocked. Only one fish is reported as returned from these stockings when it was found dead. No sampling effort to assess growth or survival of these hatchery stockings has been reported to MICRA.

West Virginia stocked 21994 fish between 1996 and 2004. While seven of these fish have been recaptured, four were submitted as commercially harvested from the Ohio basin and one each was recovered in biologist sampling in 1997, 1998 and 1999. No wild fish sampling has been reported by West Virginia biologists from 2000 on. Many of the stocked paddlefish in other areas have not successfully recruited to the sampling gears for nearly five years, it is safe to assume the stocked fish may now be catchable. The state should begin efforts to locate the adult fish.

South Dakota stocked 188161 fish prior to the inception of the MICRA partnership efforts and continued to stock fish in 2000 and 2001 in Lewis and Clark and Francis Case reservoirs. Only 14 of their 77 reported collection efforts occurred in these reservoirs; many of these efforts were made to secure broodstock for subsequent stockings. The majority of South Dakota's sampling efforts occur below Gavins Point Dam in support of the jointly managed snag fishery. South Dakota has a long history of assessing the health of their reservoir fisheries, however, data is not available in the context of this database to make an adequate assessment of the contributions of hatchery produced fish to paddlefish populations of these reservoirs. A substantial number of paddlefish stocked by South Dakota prior to 1995 were recaptured below Gavins Point Dam from 1995-2003. South Dakota stockings accounted for on average 4.6 percent of the fish harvested in the joint Nebraska/South Dakota fishery. This ranged from a low of 1.1 percent in 2003 to a high of 8.5 percent in 1997. When biologist catches were also considered these stocked fish accounted for an average of 6.7 percent of paddlefish encountered below the dam. This ranged from a low of 2.2 in 2003 to 12.7 percent in 1997.

Texas stocked 695,611 fish between 1991 and 2001. Texas biologists made 99 sampling trips in 1997 and 1998 to examine fish growth and survival. Only thirty-six paddlefish were collected in 3148 hours of sampling effort. Five of these fish were recaptures. Two fish were recaptured by biologists in 1994, before MICRA reporting requirements were in place. Betsill (1999) reported that Texas biologists collected only 20 paddlefish in targeted river reaches of the Angelina, Neches, Sabine and Trinity Rivers during five years of gill netting between 1991 and 1998. It is unlikely that paddlefish stockings in these rivers established self-sustaining populations in the reaches upstream of the lowermost dams (Betsill 1999).

Survival estimates for stocked paddlefish are largely unknown. Graham (1986) indicated that Missouri witnessed better survival rates for paddlefish exceeding 254 mm in total length as these fish were better able to escape predation. Average, minimum, and maximum lengths of stocked fish were recorded for 179 stocking events. Average lengths were less than the recommended value in 168 batches of hatchery fish in the MICRA database. The reported minimum length of paddlefish only exceeded 254 mm in 28 stocking events. It is plausible that some of these paddlefish did not survive to gear recruitment sizes.

Hoxmeier and DeVries (1997) found juvenile paddlefish extensively used oxbow areas in the Lower Alabama River. These fish did not migrate to channel areas until they reached 650 mm eye-to-fork length (EFL). Biologists monitoring Missouri River fish populations collected two size ranges of fish. Juvenile paddlefish ( $9-87 \mathrm{~mm}$ EFL) are caught with otter trawls in slack waters behind wing dikes. Adult paddlefish are occasionally captured in gill nets and hoop nets (personal communication, Corey Lee, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). Fish in the size ranges stocked by state and federal hatcheries are simply not found in the Lower Missouri River. Agencies endeavoring to evaluate the success of their stocking programs may need to consider utilizing alternative gear types or assessing additional off-channel habitats to increase their catch of young paddlefish in Mississippi Basin rivers.

Table 23. Hatchery releases of coded wire tagged paddlefish, 1988-2004. Missouri’s original 1997 hatchery stocking datasheets and reference tags never reached the tag processing center, therefore, stocking numbers are unknown.

| State | Pre-1995 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GULF BASIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LA | - | 351 | 2265 | 8605 | 4186 | 47976 | 17789 | 10060 | 43084 | 6253 | 23836 | 164405 |
| OK | - | - | - | - | - | 5757 | 21216 | 770 | 16792 | 4421 | - | 48956 |
| TX | 348722 | 107463 | 69912 | 97453 | 88163 | 34735 | 24637 | - | - | - | - | 771135 |
| MISSISSIPPI BASIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AR | - | - | 707 | - | 14889 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17388 |
| KS | 10470 | 928 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1857 | 13255 |
| LA | - | - | - | - | - | 5630 | - | 1778 | - | - | - | 7408 |
| MO | - | 5027 | 2016 | ? | 10710 | 3509 | - | 14973 | - | - | - | 36235 |
| OK | 11814 | 2013 | 112 | 10282 | 2037 | 8837 | 3216 | - | - | - | - | 38311 |
| TN | - | - | - | 5388 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5388 |
| MISSOURI BASIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KS | 6460 | 5557 | - | - | - | - | 100 | - | - | - | - | 12117 |
| MO | - | 21984 | 17307 | ? | 37039 | 40580 | - | 130361 | - | - | - | 247271 |
| ND | - | 9093 | - | 9944 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 19037 |
| SD | 188161 | 28934 | 12436 | 13821 | 13271 | 24256 | 2510 | - | - | - | - | 304478 |
| OHIO BASIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KY | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 800 | - | 1000 | - | 1800 |
| NY | - | - | - | - | 46 | 535 | 132 | 1878 | 762 | - | - | 3353 |
| PA | - | 8806 | 6577 | 13208 | - | 760 | 10830 | 8297 | 5688 | 1604 | - | 55770 |
| TN | - | 5816 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5818 |
| WV | - | 1 | 1977 | 1410 | 1522 | 2 | - | - | 4586 | 5193 | 6873 | 21564 |
| TOTAL | 565677 | 195173 | 113309 | 160113 | 171863 | 172577 | 80430 | 168917 | 70912 | 39560 | 32556 | 1773689 |

Table 24. Hatchery releases of coded wire tagged paddlefish corrected for retention estimates, 1988-2004. Missouri’s original 1997 hatchery stocking datasheets and reference tags never reached the tag processing center, therefore, stocking numbers are unknown

| State | Pre-1995 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GULF BASIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LA | - | 351 | 2199 | 8355 | 4065 | 46434 | 12723 | 9768 | 41835 | 6072 | 23145 | 154946 |
| OK | - | - | - | - | - | 5600 | 16549 | 524 | 13769 | 3625 | - | 40067 |
| TX | 313895 | 96717 | 61391 | 87708 | 79347 | 34145 | 22409 | - | - | - | - | 695611 |
| MISSISSIPPI BASIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AR | - | - | 638 | - | 15063 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15701 |
| KS | 3665 | 909 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1820 | 6394 |
| LA | - | - | - | - | - | 5467 | 4550 | 1726 | - | - | - | 11743 |
| MO | - | 4539 | 1820 | $?$ | 9671 | 3169 | - | 13521 | - | - | - | 32720 |
| OK | 10587 | 1671 | 112 | 9504 | 1980 | 7989 | 2508 | - | - | - | - | 34352 |
| TN | - | - | - | 5066 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5066 |
| MISSOURI BASIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KS | 2261 | 5446 | - | - | - | - | 98 | - | - | - | - | 7805 |
| MO | - | 19852 | 15628 | $?$ | 33446 | 36644 | - | 117716 | - | - | - | 223286 |
| ND | - | 8002 | - | 8751 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16753 |
| SD | 158983 | 22829 | 11230 | 12480 | 11984 | 21903 | 2279 | - | - | 19043 | - | 260732 |
| OHIO BASIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KY | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 722 | - | 903 | - | 1625 |
| NY | - | - | - | - | 42 | 483 | 119 | 1696 | 688 | - | - | 3028 |
| PA | - | 8542 | 4078 | 12416 | - | 728 | 9855 | 7467 | 5176 | 1604 | - | 49866 |
| TN | - | 5207 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5209 |
| WV | - | 1 | 1898 | 1354 | 1461 | 2 | - | - | 4403 | 4985 | 7011 | 21114 |
| TOTAL | 489391 | 174066 | 98995 | 145636 | 157058 | 162564 | 71091 | 153140 | 65871 | 36233 | 31976 | 1586018 |

## HARVEST

In addition to recaptures made by project biologists, tag recoveries are made in the stock assessment project from the return of paddlefish rostrums in sport and commercial fisheries. In the early years, MICRA pursued prize donations from tackle, net and boat companies as an incentive for voluntary participation by sport and commercial anglers. This program is no longer occurring. It is unclear if reinstituting a prize program would increase rostrum returns. Project biologists do not feel it would improve data significantly.

Harvest returns are handled differently by each project participant. Nebraska and South Dakota distribute harvest return labels and response postcards to each of their licensed paddlefish anglers in their archery and snagging seasons. Anglers are then encouraged to leave the rostrums from harvested fish at drop-off locations. Postcards returned to the state agencies allow them to estimate total harvest for each season (Mestl et al 2005). Missouri Department of Conservation snagging season creel clerks began checking paddlefish rostrums for coded wire tags on their three large reservoirs (Lake of the Ozarks, Table Rock Lake and Harry S Truman Lake) in 2001. They remove rostrums from tagged fish for submission to MICRA. Harvested fish numbers are not currently available in the MICRA database. Missouri Department of Conservation statistical staff are analyzing creel survey data to determine population estimates in those three reservoirs. Much of the reported paddlefish harvest is from commercial fishing in the Ohio Basin. Rostrums are submitted voluntarily by commercial anglers to biologists in bulk. These collections of rostrums contain general information which may include a range of areas within the Basin or a range of time such as several months in a year (in many cases an entire season's worth of data).

Data collected for every harvested fish should include length, weight, health and presence/absence of all tag types, however, reporting of information and returns of rostrums is voluntary throughout the Mississippi Basin and is therefore uncontrolled. Project biologists continue to establish and maintain relationships with their user groups to ensure this voluntary return of information.

Tables 25 and 26 contain harvest data received from project biologists. This data accompanied harvest labels returned with rostrum sections to the Carterville FRO. Tags were detected in 0.9 to 100 percent of the rostrums submitted as reported. When comparing numbers between the two tables, it is apparent that in some years the number of rostrums checked for coded wire tags exceeds the number of recaptures by several orders of magnitude. In other years, the number of rostrums checked is equal to the number of recaptures. This indicates the true harvest number is not reflected in the MICRA paddlefish stock assessment database. This data must be evaluated by the state biologists for accuracy. Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife harvest records, which vary from MICRA database records, are identified in Tables 25 and 26 as KY2. Individual label returns need to be evaluated to correct the MICRA database. Additionally, the database is currently structured to record information for numbers of fish checked for tags and numbers of recaptures, but does not have a format to include harvest numbers from other sources. As each of the basin groups is collectively gathering data and writing basin management reports, improvements will be made to quality of harvest data in the MICRA database.

Table 25. Sport and commercial harvest of paddlefish as reported on harvest labels for the MICRA paddlefish stock assessment project, 1995-2004. GP is the area below Gavins Point Dam jointly managed by the states of Nebraska and South Dakota. This data is combined at their request. KY2 is data from Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife records.

| State | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | Unknown | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mississippi Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IL | 215 | - | 590 | 361 | 1277 | 417 | 421 | 238 | 261 | 341 | 29 | 4150 |
| MO | - | - | 1935 | 161 | 1135 | 424 | - | 18 | - | - | - | 3655 |
| TN | - | - |  | 47 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 47 |
| Missouri Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MO | 2 | - | 27 | - | - | - | - | 307 | - | - | - | 29 |
| GP | - | - | 141 | 290 | 144 | 43 | 168 | 69 | - | - | - | 855 |
| Ohio Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IL | - | - | - | - | 139 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 139 |
| IN | 0 | 1628 | 2046 | 1746 | 635 | 1315 | 1267 | 1190 | 433 | 643* | - | 10903 |
| KY | - | - | 374 | 949 | 134 | 1083 | 3 | 25 | 14 | - | - | 2582 |
| KY2 | - | 1200 | 573 | 949 | 1204 | 1672 | 944 | 4275 | 7264 | 4647* | - |  |
| OH | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| Unknown Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 |
| TN | 519 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 519 |
| TOTAL | 911 | 22 | 5156 | 3816 | 3668 | 3322 | 2023 | 1615 | 338 | 341 | 31 |  |

* data provided by state agency but not in MICRA database as of report date.

Table 26. Coded wire tag recaptures of sport and commercial harvested paddlefish as reported on harvest labels for the MICRA paddlefish stock assessment project, 1995-2004. GP is the area below Gavins Point Dam jointly managed by the states of Nebraska and South Dakota. This data is combined at their request. KY2 is data from Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife records.

| State | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | Unknown | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mississippi Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IL | 1 | - | 6 | 2 | 24 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 6 | 13 | 2 | - |
| MO | - | - | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 | - | 18 | - | - | - | 14 |
| TN | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Missouri Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MO | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 23 | - | - | - | 0 |
| GP | - | - | 59 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | - | - | - | 117 |
| Ohio Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IL | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| IN | - | 8 | 18 | 5 | 4 | 21 | 8 | 22 | 2 | 3* | - | 91 |
| KY | - | - | 0 | 6 | 17 | 5 | 3 | 25 | 14 | - | - | 70 |
| KY2 | - | 0 | 3 | 5 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 20 | 33* | - | - |
| OH | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| Unknown Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| TN | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| TOTAL | 179 | 22 | 128 | 118 | 67 | 32 | 30 | 98 | 85 | 13 | 2 | - |
| \% of harvest | 19.7 | 100 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 6.1 | 25.1 | 3.8 | 6.5 | - |

* data provided by state agency but not in MICRA database as of report date.


## RECAPTURES

To date, 2160 fish have been recaptured with coded wire tags either through biologist sampling or angling efforts and placed in the stock assessment database (Figure 19). Eighty of these tags cannot be used to link fish to their previous captures due to problems with the tags (Table 27). An additional 88 tags collected by biologist snagging in Iowa between 2002 and 2004 are not present in the database and cannot be linked to a specific fish due an absence of a fish number on the recapture sample envelope. This missing information prohibits tagging center staff from identifying the individual fish and its recapture location.

Table 27. Identifiable errors with recaptured coded wire tags.

| Error | No. of Occurrences |
| :--- | ---: |
| No tag when rostrum received in lab | 60 |
| Tag lost in lab | 3 |
| Unreadable tag | 10 |
| Fish tagged w/ practice spool tag | 7 |

During the late 1990s project biologists in some states began to recognize that some paddlefish were being recaptured during the same collection event or in closely occurring collection events. These biologists began fin clipping paddlefish to identify recent recaptures. While they did not remove the coded wire tag for reading, they did mark the paddlefish datasheet with a "YES" for coded wire recapture. In some cases "YES" was also the response placed in the CWTMARK column, even though a new coded wire tag was not placed in the fish. The database managers discovered this practice after several years when it was determined that querying the CWTRECAP data field for "YES" responses did not deliver the same number of recaptured fish as are present in the recapture table. Reference tags were also not present for fish which did not truly receive a new coded wire tag. As can be seen in Table 28, both the number of fish tagged with CWTs and recaptured are now overestimated when a simple query is run on these fields. These data fields need to be corrected to reflect fish actually tagged and recaptured.

Table 28. Number of fish identified by project biologists as recaptured with coded wire tags.

| BASIN | STATE | RECAPTURES |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Gulf | LA | 9 |
|  | OK | 62 |
|  | TX | 4 |
| Mississippi | IA | 385 |
|  | IL | 57 |
|  | MN | 4 |
|  | OK | 227 |
|  | WI | 90 |
| Missouri | IA | 21 |
|  | KS | 122 |
|  | MO | 1 |
|  | NE | 300 |
|  | SD | 297 |
|  | IL | 127 |
|  | IN | 139 |
|  | KY | 38 |
|  | OH | 42 |
|  | TN | 24 |
|  | WV | 2 |

One thousand thirty-five of the linkable fish were stocked by hatcheries while 955 fish were presumed wild origin fish. Some fish (89) could not be directly linked to their previous capture or stocking event. Twenty-five of these fish were stocked by the state of Missouri in 1995. The same batch code went into all three Missouri paddlefish reservoirs. It was believed that the fish could be identified to site by tag length. Additionally, Missouri's 1997 hatchery sheets never arrived at the Tagging Center. Eight recaptures are believed to be from their 1997 stockings, however, specific stocking sites cannot be determined. The remaining 64 fish cannot be directly linked to a specific hatchery or original marking event because of duplicate tag codes. While the database may not be able to link these fish, in some cases assumptions can be made. For example, South Dakota and Texas used the same batch code numbers for several stockings in their waters prior to the coordinated efforts of the MICRA group. To ensure proper analysis of this dataset using program MARK software, it is important to have multiple recaptures of individual fish at multiple times. Thirty-one fish were recaptured two times. Two of these fish were in Iowa's Mississippi River sampling. Five were in the Ohio Basin; one in Ohio and the other four in IN/IL. The remaining recaptured fish were from the jointly managed NE/SD Gavins Point tailwater population. One South Dakota fish was recaptured three times.


Figure 19. Paddlefish recaptured by project biologists from 1995 to 2005.

## MOVEMENT

Similar to results of peer-reviewed paddlefish telemetry studies, the majority of wild origin fish tagged as part of the MICRA stock assessment project were recaptured in the same location as their original tagging event. Stancill et al (2002) found paddlefish exhibited site fidelity in Lake Francis Case, South Dakota (2002). Two-thirds of male paddlefish and one-third of females tracked with ultrasonic transmitters returned to their original capture site during at least one of the two subsequent spawning seasons. All paddlefish in the reservoir moved downstream and congregated in the lower reservoir reaches in the post-spawning and winter periods. Zigler et al (2003) found that while substantial numbers of paddlefish in the Wisconsin River migrated downstream from Prairie du Sac dam, they rarely left that tributary to enter the Mississippi River.

Thirty-two paddlefish moved within their original tagging basin (Table 29). Most of these fish moved into an adjacent water body.

Table 29. Movements of wild origin fish within their original tagging basin (not including fish movements within the Ohio River mainstem).

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline River \& Tagging Location \& Tagging River Mile \& Tagging Date \& Recapture Location \& Recapture River Mile \& Recapture Date \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Gulf Basin} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Mermentau \\
Sabine
\end{tabular} \& \& \[
\begin{gathered}
37 \\
37 \\
37 \\
37 \\
270
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline 08 / 26 / 97 \\
\& 09 / 05 / 96 \\
\& 09 / 10 / 96 \\
\& 06 / 03 / 97 \\
\& 05 / 20 / 97
\end{aligned}
\] \& Bayou Nezpique Bayou Nezpique Bayou Nezpique Bayou Nezpique Bayou Nezpique \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 6 \\
\& 6 \\
\& 6 \\
\& 6 \\
\& 6
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 03 / 20 / 01 \\
\& 02 / 23 / 99 \\
\& 02 / 23 / 99 \\
\& 02 / 23 / 99 \\
\& 02 / 22 / 00
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Mississippi Basin} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Black \\
Mississippi \\
Running Reelfoot
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Melvin Price Dam \\
Melvin Price Dam
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{gathered}
0 \\
200 \\
200
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 10 / 31 / 95 \\
\& 02 / 07 / 96 \\
\& 02 / 07 / 96 \\
\& 05 / 09 / 95
\end{aligned}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Mississippi \\
Lock \& Dam 12 \\
Kaskaskia/ Lock \& \\
Dam tailwaters \\
Kaskaskia/ Lock \& \\
Dam tailwaters \\
Kaskaskia \\
Confluence
\end{tabular} \& 557 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 03 / 28 / 01 \\
\& 04 / 01 / 96 \\
\& 04 / 01 / 96 \\
\& 07 / 31 / 97
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Missouri Basin} \\
\hline Missouri

Osage \& | Niobrara confluence Niobrara |
| :--- |
| Gavins Point |
| James |
| Big Sioux |
| Gavins Point |
| Gavins Point |
| Gavins Point |
| Gavins Point |
| Gavins Point |
| Gavins Point |
| Lake of Ozarks |
| Lake of Ozarks | \& 840

811
801
2
811
811
811
811
811
811 \& $05 / 14 / 96$
$05 / 14 / 96$
$05 / 22 / 96$
$05 / 07 / 97$
$02 / 16 / 00$
$06 / 18 / 98$
$04 / 26 / 95$
$06 / 12 / 97$
$07 / 01 / 99$
$06 / 02 / 98$
$11 / 17 / 98$
$09 / 12 / 96$

1994 \& | Gavins Point |
| :--- |
| Gavins Point |
| Bagnell Dam on Osage |
| Gavins Point |
| Gavins Point |
| Hermann |
| Big Sioux |
| Big Sioux |
| Big Sioux |
| Big Sioux |
| Big Sioux |
| Gavins Point |
| Gavins Point | \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
811 \\
811 \\
\\
811 \\
811 \\
98 \\
2 \\
2 \\
2 \\
2 \\
2 \\
2 \\
811 \\
811
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10 / 26 / 97 \\
& 07 / 23 / 01 \\
& 03 / 15 / 97 \\
& 10 / 12 / 03 \\
& 10 / 14 / 01 \\
& 11 / 16 / 00 \\
& 02 / 16 / 00 \\
& 02 / 16 / 00 \\
& 02 / 16 / 00 \\
& 02 / 16 / 00 \\
& 02 / 16 / 00 \\
& 09 / 16 / 03 \\
& 06 / 17 / 03
\end{aligned}
$$
\] <br>

\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Ohio Basin} <br>

\hline | Tennessee |
| :--- |
| Cumberland Wabash |
| Ohio | \& | Haddox Ferry |
| :--- |
| Haddox Ferry |
| Ferguson Creek |
| New Harmony |
| Harmony Dam Island |
| New Harmony |
| Bridge |
| Harmony Dam Island |
| Myers Pool Hovey |
| Lake |
| Myers Pool Hovey |
| Lake |
| Wabash | \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hline 16 \\
16 \\
5 \\
51 \\
42 \\
52 \\
42 \\
840 \\
840 \\
25
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline 03 / 13 / 02 \\
& 03 / 13 / 02 \\
& 10 / 25 / 00 \\
& 02 / 06 / 97 \\
& 02 / 07 / 97 \\
& 03 / 18 / 99 \\
& 04 / 03 / 96 \\
& 09 / 30 / 98 \\
& 06 / 19 / 95 \\
& 03 / 04 / 96
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& | Ohio/Smithland Pool Ohio/Smithland Pool Ohio/Smithland Pool Ohio/Smithland Pool Ohio/Smithland Pool Ohio/Smithland Pool |
| :--- |
| Ohio/Smithland Pool Wabash/New Harmony Wabash/ New Harmony Bridge Tennessee/ Kentucky Lake | \& \& $02 / 21 / 03$

$12 / 12 / 02$
$02 / 07 / 03$
$02 / 06 / 03$
$01 / 23 / 03$
$12 / 26 / 02$
$10 / 08 / 96$
$02 / 24 / 04$
$02 / 05 / 99$
$10 / 18 / 03$ <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

The most interesting movements occurred in the Ohio River mainstem (Table 30). Almost half of the 266 paddlefish recaptured in the Ohio River mainstem moved between reservoir pools. One fish moved upstream six reservoir pools from Smithland pool to Markland pool. Twenty-one fish were recaptured in the Ohio mainstem by commercial fishers who did not report a specific location; therefore, movement could not be assessed for these fish. Commercial anglers fish 'hot spots' on the Ohio River and are not eager to share location information. Additionally, anglers will submit rostrums from multiple locations in a single batch, so specific location for each fish is unknown. Dams on the Ohio River do not appear to deter fish movement in the same fashion as dams on the upper Missouri and Mississippi Rivers. Myers, Newburgh and Cannelton dams have similar configurations with no hydroelectric unit, two standard locks and a fixed weir. The fixed weir of these dams is often overtopped during the winter and spring. Markland Dam has a hydroelectric unit and lacks a fixed weir, so it is not often overtopped. During a dry winter season none of the Ohio River dams are overtopped, which leaves each reservoir pool, Hovey Lake and the Wabash River functioning as discrete units. During a normal winter rainy season, Myers, Newburgh, and Cannelton dams are often overtopped and connected with Hovey Lake and the Wabash River. During a wet winter rainy season, all of the Ohio River mainstem dams are overtopped and fish can move anywhere (personal communication, Tom Stefanavage, Indiana Department of Natural Resources).

Only 16 wild origin paddlefish left their original tagging basin and moved to another basin (Table 31). Limited additional movements of fish bearing jawtags have occurred between basins as well. This minimal movement of fish between basins appears to verify the definition of many of the existing paddlefish management areas made by project biologists. A majority of these fish (11) moved from the Missouri River Basin below Bagnell Dam on the Osage River and Gavins Point Dam on the Missouri River to be recaptured in the Middle Mississippi or Kaskaskia Rivers in the Mississippi Basin.

While wild origin fish appear to exhibit site fidelity, hatchery stocked fish do not seem to exhibit similar site loyalty. Seventy-six percent of the recaptured paddlefish stocked in Tuttle Creek Reservoir on the Blue River in Kansas were found below Gavins Point Dam on the Nebraska/South Dakota border. One was collected in the Mississippi River on the Missouri border. As paddlefish have been noted to travel great distances (Russel 1986) and respond to water flows for spring spawning migrations (Paukert and Fisher 2001), these fish were likely drawn to water flows from Gavins Point Dam.

Table 30. Movements of paddlefish tagged with coded-wire tags in the Ohio River mainstem. The grey boxes show paddlefish captured in the same pool in which they were originally tagged. Boxes to the right indicate upstream movement while boxes to the left indicate downstream movement.

| Tagged Pool | Recaptured Pool |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 52 | Smithland | Olmsted | Myers | Newburgh | Cannelton | McAlpine | Markland | Meldahl | Greenup | Byrd | Racine | Belleville | Willow Island | Hannibal | unknown |
| 52 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Smithland | - | 13 | - | 6 | 3 | 7 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| Olmsted | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Myers | - | 10 | - | 64 | 29 | 10 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| Newburgh | - | - | - | - | 7 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Cannelton | - | 1 | - | 3 | 3 | 14 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| McAlpine | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Markland | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 42 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| Meldahl | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Greenup | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Byrd | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Racine | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Belleville | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Willow Island | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hannibal | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |

Table 31. Movements of coded wire tagged wild paddlefish from one river basin to another.

| TAGGING BASIN | Tagging River | Tagging <br> Location | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tagging } \\ \text { River } \\ \text { Mile } \end{gathered}$ | Tagging Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RECAPTURE } \\ & \text { BASIN } \end{aligned}$ | Recapture River | Recapture <br> Location | Recapture River Mile | Recapture Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Missouri | Missouri | Gavins | 811 | 06/14/95 | Mississippi | Kaskaskia | Lock \& Dam tailwaters |  | 12/01/99 |
| Missouri | Missouri | Gavins | 811 | 10/14/95 | Mississippi | Kaskaskia | Lock \& Dam tailwaters |  | 03/12/98 |
| Missouri | Missouri | Gavins | 811 | 05/01/95 | Mississippi | Kaskaskia | Lock \& Dam tailwaters |  | 12/01/96 |
| Missouri | Osage | Bagnell Dam | 179 | 04/24/95 | Mississippi | Kaskaskia | Lock \& Dam tailwaters |  | 04/22/99 |
| Missouri | Osage | Bagnell Dam | 179 | 04/27/95 | Mississippi | Kaskaskia | Lock \& Dam tailwaters |  | 06/12/98 |
| Missouri | Osage | Bagnell <br> Dam | 179 | 04/27/95 | Mississippi | Kaskaskia | Lock \& Dam tailwaters |  | 03/97 |
| Missouri | Missouri | Gavins | 811 | unsure | Mississippi | DesMoines |  |  | 02/04/99 |
| Missouri | Missouri | Gavins | 811 |  | Mississippi | Mississippi | Missouri |  |  |
| Missouri | Missouri | Gavins | 811 | 06/02/97 | Mississippi | Mississippi | Ilinois Cape Girardeau |  | 11/02/03 |
| Missouri | Missouri | Gavins | 811 | 07/05/95 | Mississippi | Mississippi | Ilinois | 199 | 02/08/96 |
| Mississippi | Mississippi | Lake <br> Whittington |  | 01/11/99 | Ohio | Ohio |  |  | 03/19/02 |
| Missouri | Osage | Bagnell <br> Dam | 179 | 04/06/95 | Mississippi | Mississippi |  | 116 | 01/13/00 |
| Mississippi | Mississippi | Melvin Price Dam | 200 | 02/07/96 | Missouri | Missouri | Gavins | 811 | 11/01/01 |
| Ohio | Ohio | McAlpine <br> Dam | 606 | 04/09/97 | Missouri | Missouri | Gavins <br> Point | 811 | unknown |
| Mississippi | Black |  | 257 | 03/03/97 | Ohio | Ohio | Smithland <br> Pool |  | 02/06/03 |
| Mississippi | Mississippi | Below <br> Golden <br> Eagle Ferry | 228 | 03/03/97 | Ohio | Ohio | Smithland <br> Pool |  | 02/06/03 |

## MARK/RECAPTURE ANALYSIS

In general, mark/recapture projects use the following assumptions: randomly selected individuals are marked and released, the marked individuals mix freely with unmarked individuals in the population, marks are permanent and recognizable, and marked animals have the same probability of recapture as the unmarked individuals. The ratio of marked to unmarked individuals is used to estimate abundance. The estimation method used depends on the nature of the data collected and the assumptions of the situation.

Due to the parameters of the MICRA stock assessment project, our data violates the assumptions of both demographic and geographic closure. Paddlefish have spawned, migrated, and died during the duration of the project. The Jolly-Seber method is designed to estimate population sizes for biologically realistic open populations (Krebs 1999). Mark-recapture data is placed in a Method B table which lists the time of last capture, time of capture, total animals caught, marked, and released. In an intensive mark-recapture program, most of the marked fish collected would have been marked in the previous sampling event; therefore the number of marked animals should be largest along the subdiagonal of the table. In mark-recapture studies with less intensive sampling or very large populations, more recaptures will appear above the subdiagonal since marked fish will evade capture for several sampling periods (Krebs 1999). Method B tables were constructed for sampling locations within each of the major river basins associated with the MICRA paddlefish stock assessment project. It is readily apparent that the subdiagonals of the tables do not contain the largest number of fish, indicating that we have either large populations or need more intensive sampling efforts. Population estimates were derived, where applicable, using the Jolly-Seber full model in the Programs for Ecological Methodology software program, Version 6.1 (Krebs 1999). Confidence limits on these estimates were quite large due in part to our limited number of recaptures. Additionally, the Jolly-Seber model assumes that fish migration from a sampling area is permanent (Barker and White 2001). Once a fish has left the marked population, it is considered gone without the option of return. Zehfuss et al (1999) used radiotelemetry to test the emigration assumptions of their gulf sturgeon study area and found that Jolly-Seber and Schnabel capture-recapture models were unbiased if fish had a high probability of returning to the sampling area after temporary emigration. A review of our paddlefish movement information indicated this is true. Assumptions about emigration in each project area need to be made by project biologists based on data from this and other paddlefish projects to further refine population models.

Most mark-recapture studies are designed to utilize one form of recapture data. This is generally either live captures of marked animals or band recoveries from harvested animals as in Brownie et al (1985). However, Barker and White (2001) determined that while mixing live and dead encounters increased the complexity of a population model, it considerably improved the precision of the parameter estimates. Many of the identified population areas in the stock assessment project have both live recaptures from biologist sampling and dead recaptures from commercial and sport angler harvests, MARK analysis would provide a much more precise estimate of the population size. Basin workgroups need to discuss sampling and harvest data in detail to develop binary coded encounter histories for their fish. In this encounter history format a pair of indicator variables (LD) are defined for each encounter period. The L variable of each pair indicates whether or not the animal was captured in that trapping event while the D variable
specifies if live or dead encounters of the animal occurred between trapping sessions (White and Burnham 1999). The following synopses provide information on mark-recapture histories and where possible rough population estimates for selected areas using the Jolly-Seber. Project participants are encouraged to work with their basin groups, academic professionals, and database managers to pursue Program MARK population estimates. Program MARK will allow biologists to estimate all of the probabilities underlying the encounters of marked animals (Cooch and White 2001).

## Gulf Basin

## Mermentau River

Insufficient sampling data and reported recaptures negated explanation of this population (Table 32). Increased numbers of recaptures in Louisiana's outstanding 2001 through 2004 dataset may allow population estimates for this river system. Some 1996 fish were recaptured the following year; however, more Mermentau fish were recaptured in Bayou Nezpique than in the Mermentau. Future efforts to examine these populations may need to consider these two water bodies as holding a single population of fish. The storm surge following Hurricane Katrina increased salinity and dropped dissolved oxygen levels in the Mermentau River decimating the paddlefish population (personal communication, Bobby Reed, Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries).

Table 32. Mark-recapture data for paddlefish, of presumed wild origin, collected from the Mermentau River.

| Time of last capture | Time of Capture |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
|  | Biologist | Biologist | Biologist | Biologist | Biologist |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1996 |  | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 1997 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1998 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1999 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total recaptures | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Total marked | 0 | 174 | 149 | 52 | 4 |
| Total caught | 0 | 173 | 145 | 52 | 4 |

## Mississippi Basin

## Des Moines River

Iowa sampled three years in the Des Moines River; 1998, 1999 and 2001. Five fish originally tagged in 1998 were recaptured in 1999 (Table 33). The Peterson estimation method is the simplest method to estimate population size in 1998, however, the assumption of a short time
period would be violated. The Peterson estimate is 437 fish in the catchable population with $95 \%$ confidence intervals of 238 to 1488 fish. Peterson population estimates are unreliable and highly biased when the number of recaptures is less than seven. While the Jolly-Seber method would be a more appropriate estimator for this population, the Des Moines River dataset lacks enough recaptures of fish in subsequent years to apply the formula. Although the limited dataset implies paddlefish in the Des Moines River may be unique to that system; more sampling is necessary to determine population size.

Table 33. Mark-recapture data for paddlefish, of presumed wild origin, collected from the Des Moines River.

| Time of last capture | Time of Capture |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000* | 2001 |
|  | Biologist | Biologist | Biologist | Biologist |
| 1998 | 0 | 5 |  | 0 |
| 1999 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2000* |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2001 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total recaptures | 0 | 5 |  | 0 |
| Total marked | 76 | 30 |  | 10 |
| Total caught | 76 | 33 |  | 10 |
| Adj. release (100\%) | 76 | 30 |  | 10 |
| Adj. release (90\%) | 68 | 27 |  | 9 |

* No sampling occurred in 2000

Mississippi River pools 13, 14, and 26

Limited movement of paddlefish occurred between pools of the Upper Mississippi River. One fish moved from Pool 5A into Pool 14 (Table 34). One fish each moved from Pool 8, Pool 14, and the Black River into Pool 13 (Table 35). Fish from Pool 26 were not collected elsewhere, nor were fish originally tagged in other locations collected in this Pool (Table 36).

Unfortunately, 88 paddlefish recaptured by Iowa Department of Natural Resources staff can not be linked to a recapture location as the rostrums were submitted to the tag processing center without sufficient information. This poses additional future implications when developing individual fish encounter histories for MARK analysis as fish with multiple recaptures will not be counted as such.

Jolly-Seber population estimates for Pool 13, assuming 90\% tag retention ranged from 266 fish in 2000 to 2626 fish in 2003 (Table 37). Recapture numbers from Pool 14 were insufficient to run a Jolly-Seber population model. Recaptures from Pool 26 included returns from the commercial harvest of paddlefish. Harvest numbers and recaptures were combined with biologist catch and recaptures in the Jolly Seber model. This increased the total number caught in the model while the number of fish marked and released did not change. This may be partially
responsible for the wide range of population estimates for this pool. Estimates included a low of 471 fish in 1997 and a high of 87013 the following year (Table 38). The 1996 estimate of four fish is due to the extremely low number of fish collected by biologists that year and should not be considered a valid estimate. Moving this data to a binary format which includes a terminal code for harvested fish for MARK analysis will improve the precision of the population estimates.

Table 34. Mark-recapture data for paddlefish, of presumed wild origin, collected by biologists (B) and by other means ( 0 ) from pool 14 of the Mississippi River.

| Time of last capture | Time of Capture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
|  | B | B | B | B | O | B | B | B | B | B |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1997 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1998 |  |  | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1999 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total recaptured | 0 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Total marked | 79 | 2 | 222 | 23 |  | 30 | 1 | 168 | 218 | 60 |
| Total caught | 83 | 2 | 283 | 23 |  | 30 | 1 | 176 | 233 | 60 |
| Adj. release (100\%) | 79 | 2 | 221 | 23 |  | 30 | 1 | 168 | 218 | 60 |
| Adj. release (90\%) | 71 | 2 | 199 | 21 |  | 27 | 1 | 151 | 196 | 54 |

* From pool 5A of the Mississippi River

Table 35. Mark-recapture data for paddlefish, of presumed wild origin, collected from pool 13 of the Mississippi River.

| Time of last capture | Time of Capture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2000 \\ \hline \text { Biologist } \end{gathered}$ | 2001 |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2002 \\ \hline \text { Biologist } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2003 \\ \hline \text { Biologist } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2004 \\ \hline \text { Biologist } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Biologist | Biologist | Biologist | Biologist | Biologist | Other |  | Biologist | Other |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1*** | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1996 |  | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1* | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1997 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1998 |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | $1^{* *}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1999 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total recaptured | 0 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 7 |
| Total marked | 2 | 104 | 82 | 8 | 100 |  | 5 | 177 |  | 240 | 76 | 283 |
| Total caught | 2 | 119 | 94 | 9 | 123 |  | 6 | 205 |  | 274 | 88 | 303 |
| Adjusted release (100\%) | 2 | 101 | 80 | 8 | 100 |  | 5 | 177 |  | 240 | 76 | 283 |
| Adjusted release (90\%) | 2 | 91 | 72 | 7 | 90 |  | 5 | 159 |  | 216 | 68 | 255 |

* From pool 8 of the Mississippi River
** From pool 14 of the Mississippi River
*** From the Black River

Table 36. Mark-recapture data for paddlefish, of presumed wild origin, collected by biologists (B) and harvested (H) from pool 26 of the Mississippi River.

| Time of last capture | Time of capture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1995 |  | 1996 |  | 1997 |  | 1998 |  | 1999 |  | 2000 |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  |
|  | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H |
| 1995 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1996 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total recaptured | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 11 |
| Total marked | 18 |  | 2 |  | 156 |  | 171 |  | 160 |  | 310 |  | 118 |  | 118 |  | 246 |  | 135 |  |
| Total caught | 23 |  | 2 |  | 706 |  | 498 |  | 995 |  | 558 |  | 397 |  | 246 |  | 325 |  | 283 |  |
| Adj. release (100\%) | 17 |  | 2 |  | 156 |  | 171 |  | 160 |  | 307 |  | 115 |  | 118 |  | 242 |  | 135 |  |
| Adj. release (90\%) | 15 |  | 2 |  | 140 |  | 154 |  | 144 |  | 276 |  | 104 |  | 106 |  | 218 |  | 122 |  |

Table 37. Population estimates for pool 13 of the Mississippi River.

| Year | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ Tag Retention |  |  |  | 90\% Tag Retention |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $95 \%$ CI | Survival | $95 \%$ CI | N | $95 \%$ CI | Survival | $95 \%$ CI |
| 1995 | - | - | 5.100 | - | - | - | 4.600 | $0.9225-1$ |
| 1996 | 1224 | $195-31739$ | 0.594 | $0.2446-1$ | 1104 | $184-$ <br> 27996 | 0.597 | $0.2481-1$ |
| 1997 | 1568 | $567-7676$ | 0.448 | $0.1212-1$ | 1420 | $519-6881$ | 0.443 | $0.1227-1$ |
| 1998 | 320 | $78-2816$ | 0.736 | $0.1942-1$ | 285 | $71-2449$ | 0.762 | $0.2062-1$ |
| 1999 | 648 | $325-2045$ | 0.265 | $0.1081-$ <br> 0.7041 | 595 | $304-1838$ | 0.295 | $0.1202-$ |
| 2000 | 266 | $54-3062$ | 3.155 | $0.8877-1$ | 266 | $54-3062$ | 2.876 | 0.7793 |
| 2001 | 1553 | $634-6715$ | 0.129 | $0.0253-$ <br> 0.7719 | 1415 | $588-6014$ | 0.132 | $0.8200-1$ |
| 2002 | 1165 | $375-10687$ | 0.486 | $0.1266-1$ | 1073 | $361-9457$ | 0.485 | $0.759-$ |
| 2003 | 2922 | $774-22596$ | - | - | 2626 | $702-$ | 20156 | - |

Table 38. Population estimates for pool 26 of the Mississippi River.

| Year | 100\% Tag Retention |  |  |  | 90\% Tag Retention |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | 95\% CI | Survival | 95\% CI | N | 95\% CI | Survival | 95\% CI |
| 1995 | - | - | 0.147 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0458- \\ 0.4822 \end{array}$ | - | - | 0.167 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0519- \\ 0.5386 \end{array}$ |
| 1996 | 4 | 2-13 | 0.571 | 0.2002-1 | 4 | 2-13 | 0.571 | 0.2002-1 |
| 1997 | 471 | 471-99648 | 1.240 | 0.5043-1 | 471 | $\begin{array}{r} 471- \\ 74852 \end{array}$ | 1.246 | 0.5078-1 |
| 1998 | 96557 | $\begin{array}{r} 16676- \\ 1367515 \end{array}$ | 0.516 | 0.1913-1 | 87013 | $\begin{array}{r} 15063- \\ 1230260 \end{array}$ | 0.518 | 0.1931-1 |
| 1999 | 23422 | 9535-93182 | 0.712 | 0.2890-1 | 21181 | $\begin{array}{r} 8678- \\ 83735 \end{array}$ | 0.713 | 0.2906-1 |
| 2000 | 19399 | 8176-72344 | 0.384 | 0.1554-1 | 17495 | $\begin{gathered} 7403- \\ 64978 \end{gathered}$ | 0.388 | 0.1583-1 |
| 2001 | 7557 | 3311-26978 | 1.905 | 0.5181-1 | 6875 | $\begin{gathered} 3033- \\ 24362 \end{gathered}$ | 1.894 | 0.5167-1 |
| 2002 | 36927 | $\begin{gathered} 10425- \\ 248627 \end{gathered}$ | 0.467 | 0.1154-1 | 33222 | $\begin{array}{r} 9402- \\ 223134 \end{array}$ | 0.470 | 0.1165-1 |
| 2003 | 10856 | 4189-46461 | - | - | 9813 | $\begin{aligned} & 3805- \\ & 41807 \end{aligned}$ | - | - |

## Kaw Reservoir

Recaptures and log-recaptures of 1994 hatchery stocked fish were plotted against year to determine survival rates of the fish (Ricker 1975). The plot of the logarithms of recaptures falls in a straight line indicating survival rates were uniform over this period. The line has a slope of 0.04012 log-units per year, corresponding to a survival rate of 91.2 percent (Figure 20). Natural mortality of paddlefish has previously been documented at less than nine percent of fish in the unfished South Cross Creek impoundment on the Cumberland River, Tennessee (Boone and Timmons 1995) and at eight percent for paddlefish in Kentucky Lake, Tennessee (Timmons and Hughbanks 2000). Runstrom et al (2001) estimated total annual mortality in an unexploited Mississippi River population to be $27 \%$.

Adult paddlefish collected by biologists in Kaw Lake were marked with monel jaw tags. Only two of these fish were subsequently recaptured. Kaw Lake data should be moved to MARK analysis to correctly incorporate hatchery stockings and multiple recapture histories of fish stocked with coded wire tags and subsequently recaptured with jaw tags. Further sampling to increase recaptures should also occur.

Table 39. Mark-recapture data for paddlefish collected from Kaw Reservoir on the Arkansas River. CWT indicates coded wire tag recaptures and JWT indicates jawtag recaptures.

| Time of last capture | Time of Capture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |  | 2000 |  | 2001 | 2002** | 2003 |
|  | CWT | CWT | CWT | CWT | CWT | CWT | JWT | CWT | JWT | CWT |  | CWT |
| 1994 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 |
| 1995 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1996 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1997 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1998 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 1999 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total recaptured | 0 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Total marked | 16310* | 99 | 18 | 13 | 8 |  |  | 1 |  | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Total caught | 0 | 127 | 18 | 13 | 9 |  |  | 1 |  | 5 | 0 | 3 |
| Total jawtagged | 0 | 99 | 18 | 13 | 8 |  |  | 1 |  | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Adj. release (100\%) | 16310* | 99 | 18 | 13 | 8 |  |  | 1 |  | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Adj. release (90\%) | 14679* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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Figure 20. Survival of hatchery stocked paddlefish recaptured in Kaw Reservoir, Oklahoma.

## Oolagah Reservoir

Oolagah Reservoir represents a unique case for analysis as paddlefish were not present in the reservoir prior to stocking in 1994. This presents the opportunity for biologists to look at long term tag retention rates in the wild. As seen in Table 40, while 15 fish were collected by project biologists in 1999 only six were reported to be coded wire tag recaptures. As one additional fish was recaptured with an unreadable tag, this leaves a total of eight fish which did not register as recaptured fish. These fish should all be carrying tags in the absence of a natural local population. In total, nine recaptured fish tags could not be read or were missing from the pieces of rostrums returned to the tagging center. Additionally, two recapture tags could not be linked to their original tagging event due to a tag number mismatch. Similar to Kaw Reservoir adult fish captured by biologists are subsequently tagged with jaw tags.

Attempts to determine survival rates of 1994 fish stocked into Oolagah Reservoir provided confusing results, as the slope of the log-recaptures by year line ( 0.0407 ) was a positive number (Figure 21). This is likely due to the larger number of recaptures from this stocking class which were recaptured in 2002 and 2003. Oklahoma biologists are working with Dr. Craig Paukert of Kansas State University to analyze their paddlefish data.

Table 40. Mark-recapture data for paddlefish collected from Oolagah Reservoir, Oklahoma. CWT indicates coded wire tag recaptures and JWT indicates jawtag recaptures.

| Time of last capture | Time of Capture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1994* | 1995* | 1996* | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |  | 2000 |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  |
|  |  |  |  | CWT | CWT | CWT | JWT | CWT | JWT | CWT | JWT | CWT | JWT | CWT | JWT |
| 1994* |  |  |  | 6 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1998 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1999 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total recaptured |  |  |  | 6 | 3 | 17 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 42 | 1 | 45 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Hatchery releases | 5974 | 0 | 112 | 10282 | 2037 | 883 |  | 3216 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Total jawtagged |  |  |  | 15 | 5 | 20 |  | 18 |  | 5 |  | 57 |  | 2 |  |
| Total caught |  |  |  | 15 | 6 | 21 |  | 19 |  | 5 |  | 65 |  | 2 |  |
| Adj. release ${ }^{* *}$ | 5974 | 0 | 112 | 10297 | 2042 | 885 |  | 3234 |  | 5 |  | 57 |  | 2 |  |
| Adj. release ${ }^{* * *}$ | 5735 | 0 | 108 | 9884 | 1960 | 850 |  | 3103 |  | 4 |  | 49 |  | 2 |  |

* No sampling occurred in 1994, 1995, and 1996
** Based on $100 \%$ tag retention for both CWT and JWT
*** Based on $85.9 \%$ retention rate for CWT and $96 \%$ retention rate for JWT


Figure 21. Survival of hatchery stocked paddlefish recaptured in Oolagah Reservoir, Oklahoma.

## Missouri Basin

## Missouri River below Gavins Point Dam

The largest dataset in the MICRA paddlefish stock assessment project covers paddlefish below Gavins Point Dam on the Missouri River. This stretch of river hosts the jointly managed Nebraska/South Dakota paddlefish fishery. Three hundred fifty-five wild origin paddlefish were recaptured either by biologist sampling or by archery and snagging anglers. The Method B table for this dataset is largely complete, indicating fish were recaptured from almost every sampling year in each of the subsequent sampling years (Table 41).

Fish recaptured in the same season they were tagged were removed from the total number of marked fish since one assumption of the Jolly-Seber model is that fish can not be recaptured until the subsequent marking period. The number released was also adjusted to reflect a $90 \%$ coded wire tag retention rate. The total number of fish caught includes fish collected by biologists as well as fish harvested in the archery and snagging seasons. This provided population estimates ranging from a low of 34557 in 2001 to a high of 170734 in 1999 (Table 42). Similar to the other areas examined, confidence intervals around these numbers are large. Biologists from Nebraska and South Dakota will be working with Dr. Mark Pegg of the University of Nebraska-Lincoln in spring 2006 to develop more precise population estimates using Program MARK.

Table 41. Mark-recapture data for paddlefish, of presumed wild origin, collected by biologists (B) and harvested (H) by means of archery and snagging from the Missouri River below Gavins Point Dam.

| Time of last capture | Time of Capture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1995 |  | 1996 |  | 1997 |  | 1998 |  | 1999 |  | 2000 |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  |
|  | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H |
| 1995 | 0 | 27 | 12 | 16 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 1996 |  |  | 1 | 30 | 11 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| 1997 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 14 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| 1999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 7 |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 4 | 7 |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total recaptures | 0 | 27 | 13 | 46 | 18 | 26 | 21 | 29 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 13 | 18 | 23 | 18 | 16 | 32 | 33 |
| Total marked | 642 |  | 677 |  | 880 |  | 652 |  | 711 |  | 261 |  | 323 |  | 525 |  | 508 |  |
| Total caught* | 2888 |  | 2566 |  | 1955 |  | 1911 |  | 2133 |  | 1342 |  | 1498 |  | 1422 |  | 1856 |  |
| Adj. release (100\%) | 642 |  | 677 |  | 880 |  | 652 |  | 711 |  | 261 |  | 323 |  | 525 |  | 508 |  |
| Adj. release (90\%) | 578 |  | 609 |  | 792 |  | 587 |  | 640 |  | 235 |  | 291 |  | 473 |  | 457 |  |

* Total collected by biologists plus the combined harvest from archery and snagging

Table 42. Population estimates for the Missouri River below Gavins Point Dam.

| Year | 100\% Tag Retention |  |  |  | 90\% Tag Retention |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | 95\% CI | Survival | 95\% CI | N | 95\% CI | Survival | 95\% CI |
| 1995 | - | - | 0.815 | 0.5605-1 | - | - | 0.820 | 0.5653-1 |
| 1996 | 46335 | $\begin{array}{r} 30460- \\ 87750 \end{array}$ | 0.979 | 0.6658-1 | 41937 | $\begin{array}{r} 27661- \\ 79095 \end{array}$ | 0.982 | 0.6694-1 |
| 1997 | 77404 | $\begin{aligned} & 51292- \\ & 144062 \end{aligned}$ | 0.874 | 0.5491-1 | 69861 | $\begin{aligned} & 46370- \\ & 129753 \end{aligned}$ | 0.877 | 0.5518-1 |
| 1998 | 81509 | $\begin{aligned} & 53128- \\ & 155509 \end{aligned}$ | 0.752 | 0.4537-1 | 73582 | $\begin{aligned} & 48043- \\ & 140078 \end{aligned}$ | 0.753 | 0.4549-1 |
| 1999 | 189421 | $\begin{array}{r} 116426- \\ 394043 \end{array}$ | 0.629 | 0.3501-1 | 170734 | $\begin{array}{r} 105055- \\ 354680 \end{array}$ | 0.630 | 0.3515-1 |
| 2000 | 130277 | $\begin{gathered} 72738- \\ 313254 \end{gathered}$ | 0.581 | 0.3079-1 | 117473 | $\begin{gathered} 65699- \\ 281850 \end{gathered}$ | 0.584 | 0.3105-1 |
| 2001 | 38184 | $\begin{array}{r} 24340- \\ 75591 \end{array}$ | 1.739 | 0.8696-1 | 34557 | $\begin{array}{r} 22096- \\ 68147 \end{array}$ | 1.741 | 0.8726-1 |
| 2002 | 109492 | $\begin{gathered} 61599- \\ 260485 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | 98804 | $\begin{gathered} 55664- \\ 234655 \end{gathered}$ | - | - |

## Ohio Basin

## Ohio River

All fish collected in the Ohio River mainstem and Great Miami River were included in the Method B mark-recapture table (Table 43) and Jolly-Seber population estimates. Fish in the Wabash River were considered a distinct population unit due to limited movement of recaptured fish between the Wabash and Ohio Rivers. Harvested fish were added to the total number of fish caught. Harvest values used reflect numbers currently present in the MICRA stock assessment database. Further refinement of these values with harvest numbers held by Ohio Basin agencies will improve population estimates. Fish recaptured in the same marking year were subtracted from the total number of marked fish. Average population estimates assuming a $90 \%$ retention rate for coded-wire tags ranged from a low of 33318 fish in 1996 to a high of 549677 fish in 2000 (Table 44). Program MARK software should be used to assess this dataset taking into account terminal recaptures to improve precision of population estimates.

Concern was expressed by the Ohio River Fish Management Team in their Sub-Basin Management Plan that the Ohio River Basin may be experiencing unsustainable levels of commercial harvest (Henley et al 2001). However, their estimates were based on ages from a small sample of fish collected by sport anglers in one location in 1996. Harvest estimates based on commercial reports of pounds of harvested fish were 11,711 paddlefish in 1999 and 29,194 in 2000. Pairing population estimates derived from the Jolly-Seber model to reported harvest would indicated that $34 \%$ of the population was harvested in 1999 while only $5 \%$ was harvested in 2000. Obviously, an improved population model is needed.

Table 43. Mark-recapture data for paddlefish, of presumed wild origin, collected from the Ohio River. CWT indicates coded wire tag recaptures and JWT indicates jawtag recaptures. The total number of fish caught from 1996 through 2004 includes the total number of fish collected by biologists and harvested by sport and commercial anglers.

| Time of last capture | Time of Capture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1995 | 1996 |  | 1997 |  | 1998 |  | 1999 |  |  |  | 2000 |  |  |  | 2001 |  |  |  | 2002 |  |  |  | 2003 |  |  |  | 2004 |  |  |  |
|  | CWT | CWT |  | CWT |  | CWT |  | CWT |  | JWT |  | CWT |  | JWT |  | CWT |  | JWT |  | CWT |  | JWT |  | CWT |  | JWT |  | CWT |  | JWT |  |
|  | B | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H | B | H |
| 1995 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1996 |  | 3 | 1 | 7 | 16 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1997 |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1998 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total recaptured | 1 | 9 | 8 | 12 | 23 | 21 | 9 | 41 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 11 | 0 | 10 | 16 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 43 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 1 |
| Total marked | 331 | 693 |  | 612 |  | 612 |  | 610 |  |  |  | 829 |  |  |  | 840 |  |  |  | 507 |  |  |  | 303 |  |  |  | 509 |  |  |  |
| Total caught | 331 | 2226 |  | 3428 |  | 3307 |  | 1519 |  |  |  | 3227 |  |  |  | 2110 |  |  |  | 952 |  |  |  | 950 |  |  |  | 1853 |  |  |  |
| Adj. release (100\%) | 330 | 676 |  | 600 |  | 556 |  | 574 |  |  |  | 800 |  |  |  | 814 |  |  |  | 501 |  |  |  | 294 |  |  |  | 493 |  |  |  |
| Adj. release (90\%) <br> Jawtags excluded | 297 | 608 |  | 540 |  | 500 |  | 514 |  |  |  | 720 |  |  |  | 733 |  |  |  | 228 |  |  |  | 263 |  |  |  | 346 |  |  |  |

Table 44. Population estimates for the Ohio River.

| Year | 100\% Tag Retention |  |  |  | 90\% Tag Retention |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | 95\% CI | Survival | 95\% CI | N | 95\% CI | Survival | 95\% CI |
| 1995 | - | - | 0.671 | 0.4287-1 | - | - | 0.705 | 0.4508-1 |
| 1996 | 35204 | $\begin{array}{r} 20427- \\ 81107 \end{array}$ | 0.799 | 0.5544-1 | 33318 | $\begin{array}{r} 19357- \\ 76695 \end{array}$ | 0.767 | 0.5301-1 |
| 1997 | 78107 | $\begin{gathered} 52275- \\ 143612 \end{gathered}$ | 0.741 | 0.5045-1 | 68253 | $\begin{aligned} & 45641- \\ & 125787 \end{aligned}$ | 0.767 | 0.5181-1 |
| 1998 | 120266 | $\begin{gathered} 79501- \\ 224750 \end{gathered}$ | 0.969 | 0.6150-1 | 109913 | $\begin{gathered} 72321- \\ 206941 \end{gathered}$ | 0.928 | 0.5796-1 |
| 1999 | 39517 | $\begin{array}{r} 26632- \\ 71831 \end{array}$ | 1.227 | 0.7243-1 | 34337 | - | 2.401 | - |
| 2000 | 321808 | $\begin{array}{r} 197211- \\ 672263 \end{array}$ | 1.381 | 0.7028-1 | 549677 | $\begin{gathered} 298912- \\ 1373412 \end{gathered}$ | 1.207 | 0.4575-1 |
| 2001 | 298037 | $\begin{array}{r} 168376- \\ 703321 \end{array}$ | 0.351 | 0.1311-1 | 468859 | $\begin{gathered} 220598- \\ 1456122 \end{gathered}$ | - | - |
| 2002 | 31380 | $\begin{array}{r} 15010- \\ 96320 \end{array}$ | 0.237 | 0.0628-1 | - | - | - | - |
| 2003 | 25451 | $\begin{array}{r} 9313- \\ 119218 \end{array}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## Wabash River

The Ohio River Fish Management Team's technical committee determined in 2001 that the Wabash River mainstem was a separate management unit from the Ohio River mainstem (Henley et al 2001). Wabash River fish were generally smaller and less robust when compared to fish from the Ohio River mainstem and Hovey Lake. The Ohio Basin biologists can generally tell when they collect a recently departed Hovey Lake fish in the Wabash or recently arrived Wabash fish in Hovey Lake because the fish look so different (personal communication, Tom Stefanavage, Indiana Department of Natural Resources).

Fifty-three fish with linkable tag codes were recaptured in the Wabash system. Three of these fish were originally tagged in the Ohio River. Program Jolly could not be used across the full range of Wabash data to estimate population sizes because the data violates two assumptions of the program. Recaptures from each tagging year must be collected in subsequent sampling events (years). No fish tagged in 2001 were recaptured in 2002 or 2003. Additionally, no recaptures occurred in 1997. Program JOLLY was used to estimate the Wabash population with $95 \%$ Confidence Limits for the 1996 through 1999 capture seasons. Estimates were determined both for the data as presented in Table 45 and with the data corrected for a $90 \%$ tag retention rate (Table 46). Jawtag numbers were included in this estimation with the assumption that tag retention was 100 percent.

Table 45. Mark-recapture data for paddlefish, of presumed wild origin, collected from the Wabash River. Columns labeled Ohio include recaptures of fish from the Ohio River.

| Time of last capture | Time of Capture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  |  |  |
|  | Biologist | Biologist | Biologist | Biologist | Biologist | Ohio | Biologist | Biologist | Biologist | Jawtag | Biologist | Jawtag | Biologist | Ohio | Jawtag | Jawtag Ohio |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1996 |  | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1997 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1998 |  |  |  | 6 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1999 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total recaptured | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 13 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Total marked | 117 | 62 | 162 | 329 | 377 |  | 192 | 108 | 7 |  | 13 |  | 46 |  |  |  |
| Total caught | 118 | 62 | 172 | 351 | 392 |  | 193 | 110 | 10 |  | 13 |  | 56 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted release (100\%) | 117 | 61 | 161 | 323 | 373 |  | 190 | 107 | 9 |  | 13 |  | 54 |  |  |  |
| Adjusted release (90\%) | 105 | 55 | 145 | 290 | 335 |  | 171 | 96 | 9 |  | 13 |  | 54 |  |  |  |

Table 46. Population estimates for the Wabash River.

| Year | 100\% Tag Retention |  |  |  | 90\% Tag Retention |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | 95\% CI | Survival | 95\% CI | N | 95\% CI | Survival | 95\% CI |
| 1995 | - | - | 0.106 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0156- \\ 0.8622 \end{array}$ | - | - | 0.107 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0159- \\ 0.8511 \end{gathered}$ |
| 1996 | 781 | 112-22464 | 1.839 | 0.5698-1 | 706 | $\begin{array}{r} 105- \\ 19902 \end{array}$ | 1.838 | 0.5712-1 |
| 1997 | 23355 | $\begin{array}{r} 3726- \\ 376315 \end{array}$ | 0.473 | 0.1591-1 | 21048 | $\begin{array}{r} 3369- \\ 338403 \end{array}$ | 0.473 | 0.1601-1 |
| 1998 | 8213 | 3010-38602 | 1.449 | 0.4349-1 | 7408 | $\begin{gathered} 2734 \\ 34593 \end{gathered}$ | 1.452 | 0.4370-1 |
| 1999 | 26076 | $\begin{array}{r} 8736- \\ 136742 \end{array}$ | - | - | 23462 | $\begin{array}{r} 7884- \\ 122715 \end{array}$ | - | - |

## DISCUSSION

The greatest success of the paddlefish stock assessment project has been the identification of paddlefish population areas and the willingness of project participants from different state and federal agencies to work together to develop management plans for the species.

After ten years of stocking and sampling paddlefish in large rivers throughout the country, sufficient data exists to estimate population sizes and exploitation rates in some areas such as the Ohio Basin and Gavins Point tailwater fishery. It is time for the basin workgroups and the Paddlefish/Sturgeon Committee to take a hard look at this project and re-examine its goals and feasibility. For example, although one of the major goals originally defined by the Paddlefish/Sturgeon Committee was the identification of paddlefish habitat, this goal was largely unaddressed. The majority of paddlefish sampling effort has occurred in mainstem rivers below dams. Additionally, this effort consisted mostly of large mesh gill nets and trammel nets which targeted adult fish. A random sampling of large river habitats was simply unfeasible. Project participants striving to tag the targeted goal of 300 wild fish per year simply couldn't afford to invest the time and money required to determine habitat preferences of a range of size classes of paddlefish with any statistical significance in the Mississippi Basin. The identification of habitat usage by paddlefish should be dropped as a goal of the stock assessment project and moved to smaller scale telemetry study efforts in the basins. On the other hand, we are currently limited in our ability to perform some population statistics as age data is not a part of the MICRA paddlefish stock assessment database. Basin workgroups are encouraged to utilize age data obtained through other studies to assign ages to paddlefish in this project for ongoing analysis.

The following recommendations provided by the database managers presume the cooperative stock assessment efforts of the Paddlefish/Sturgeon Committee will continue in some form.

## Recommendations

## Develop funding and support mechanisms to support continued coded wire tagging mark and recapture activities.

Mark-recapture studies require a substantial commitment of time and money to generate reliable data (Barker and White 2001). Over 40 million salmon are tagged with coded wire tags annually in the Pacific Northwest. Each of the state fish and game agencies additionally support a tag recovery lab to remove and read coded wire tags from salmon heads. The Pacific Salmon Marking Center operates a staff of three full-time programmers and analysts at a cost of $\$ 500 \mathrm{~K}$ per year. The Marking Center maintains a website which allows people to query the coded wire tagging and sampling effort data (http://www.rmis.org/index.html). MICRA received $\$ 200 \mathrm{~K}$ of Reverted DJ Funds in seed funding from IAFWA to begin this project in 1995, however, a similar level of funding should have been applied to the project in each of the subsequent years. Numerous attempts to fund this project through various funding sources occurred over the last ten years; none of which were successful.

Should MICRA elect to continue the paddlefish stock assessment project increased time, funds and equipment would be required to allow state biologists to increase the numbers of fish collected and recaptured and to continue to tag hatchery stocked fish. Sampling gear should be standardized within basins to improve comparability of data across space and time. Additional funds to supply states with appropriate numbers of coded wire tag detector wands or creel clerks to increase returns from sport and commercial fisheries should also be considered. Ideally, the MICRA stock assessment project would employ one full time technician to enter data and read tags and one biologist/statistician to handle the database management and analysis. The committee would also move the project database to a web searchable format to increase timely access to data.

At a minimum the backlog of 2004 and 2005 datasheets, reference tags, and recaptures should be entered into the MICRA database. Partnerships with statisticians in state, federal or academic institutions to perform MARK analysis of mark-recapture data should be encouraged.

## Determine tag retention rate for jawtags

Several states moved through the course of this project from tagging fish solely with CWTs to tagging wild caught adult fish with jawtags. This shift was made for many reasons including: delays in receiving tagging information, change in tag code with each subsequent recapture, concerns regarding long-term retention rates and potential impacts of multiple rostrum cuts to remove CWTs from recaptured fish. Additionally, jawtags could be observed and recorded by anglers without tag sensing equipment. While there are many anecdotal reports of paddlefish recaptured with jawtags after extensive time periods, there are no published reports of retention rates for jawtags in paddlefish. Tishomingo National Fish Hatchery in Oklahoma held paddlefish tagged with monel jaw tags for fifteen days in 1994 to assess retention and mortality rates. These fish had a $96 \%$ retention rate; however none of the fish have been recaptured to date. States interested in continuing or beginning to use jawtags for mark-recapture paddlefish studies should determine retention rates for these tags. It may even be possible to estimate retention rates from recaptures of fish double tagged with coded wire tags.

## Analyze mark-recapture data with MARK software

Program MARK provides parameter estimates from marked animals when they are reencountered at a later time. Re-encounters can be from dead recoveries (e.g., the animal is harvested), live recaptures (e.g. the animal is re-trapped or re-sighted), radio tracking, or from some combination of these sources of re-encounters (White and Burnham 1999). Basin workgroups will need to collectively query data within specific population boundaries and develop encounter histories for individual fish. Assumptions will need to be made regarding sampling frequency, retention rates, harvest values, seasonality of samples. In the absence of a project statistician, partnerships with university staffs familiar with mark-recapture analysis and population dynamics are encouraged.

## Increase sampling efforts in those areas most likely to produce sufficient recaptures for analysis

In an ideal mark-recapture study with repeated sampling events animals from each tagging event should be recaptured in every subsequent sampling event. Mobrand Biometrics also identified the need for MICRA to increase the number of multiple recaptures of individual fish. The one location where numbers of fish recaptured seems truly sufficient for population estimates is the Gavins Point tailwater. More recaptures are need to assess populations throughout the project.

## Increase or begin sampling efforts in areas where state and federal hatcheries are stocking fish

The states of New York and West Virginia should begin to sample their stocked water bodies to assess their success in establishing year classes of fish. In general, stocked fish appear to be recruiting to most of the sampling gears five years after stocking. Similarly, South Dakota Department of Game, Fish and Parks has stocked fish for fifteen years in Lewis and Clark Lake and Lake Francis Case. Reported sampling in these water bodies has largely been limited to broodstock collections.

## Improve quality of data from harvested fish where possible

The majority of recaptured fish were collected in the Ohio River Basin commercial fishery and the joint Nebraska/South Dakota snagging fishery. In almost all cases fish recaptured in this fashion are lacking length and weight information. In most cases specific recapture location is also missing. Very little information from Missouri's snag fishery exists in the database. The Missouri Department of Conservation should consider distributing harvest labels to snagging anglers in a similar fashion to Nebraska Game and Parks Commission and the South Dakota Department of Game, Fish and Parks. Where possible, state agencies are encouraged to try and increase the voluntary information received from their anglers. Additionally, improvements harvest estimates need to be made to the MICRA database to improve precision of population estimates.
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Table 1. Number of sampling trips completed by MICRA participants to assess paddlefish from 1995 - 2009. "GP" indicates the jointly managed Gavin's Point Dam Fishery.

|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gulf Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LA | 2 | 21 | 20 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 18 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 5 | - | - | - | 99 |
| OK | - | - | - | 12 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 14 | 9 | 6 | 12 | 13 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 102 |
| TX | - | 19 | 61 | 19 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 99 |
| Mississippi Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AR | 6 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 11 |
| IA | 1 | 15 | 28 | 28 | 18 | 9 | 21 | 34 | 22 | 23 | 20 | 14 | 8 | 9 | - | 250 |
| IL | 37 | 7 | 24 | 13 | 28 | 15 | 18 | 14 | 11 | 18 | 10 | - | 2 | - | - | 197 |
| LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| MN | 31 | 25 | - | 8 | - | 6 | - | 49 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 120 |
| MO | - | 2 | 4 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| MS | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 |
| OK | 3 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 30 | 26 | 130 |
| TN | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| WI | 18 | 5 | 16 | 16 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 86 |
| Missouri Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IA | 1 | - | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 2 | - | - | 8 | 3 | 32 |
| KS | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| MO | 16 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 10 | 5 | 15 | 10 | - | 1 | 1 | 26 | 36 | - | 123 |
| GP | 17 | 15 | 18 | 14 | 16 | 8 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 6 | - | 8 | 15 | 17 | 162 |
| NE | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 20 | 16 | 10 | 55 |
| SD | - | 7 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 9 | 38 |
| Ohio Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IL | 6 | 15 | 17 | 22 | 28 | 21 | 18 | 19 | 14 | 19 | 19 | 24 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 229 |
| IN | 9 | 24 | 13 | 16 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 11 | - | - | - | - | 101 |
| KY | 9 | 23 | 19 | 22 | 26 | 13 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 4 | - | 10 | 157 |
| OH | 2 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | 3 | 2 | 2 | - | 26 |
| PA | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 14 | - | - | - | 26 |
| TN | 18 | 16 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 40 |
| WV | - | - | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 |
| TOTAL | 179 | 214 | 248 | 194 | 164 | 116 | 97 | 200 | 109 | 107 | 107 | 90 | 87 | 128 | 83 | 2123 |

Table 2. Number of paddlefish collected for the MICRA Paddlefish Stock Assessment Program, 1995-2009. "GP" indicates the jointly managed Gavin's Point Dam Fishery.

|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gulf Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LA | 29 | 185 | 220 | 53 | 143 | 89 | 65 | 185 | 60 | 50 | 30 | 54 | - | - | - | 1163* |
| OK | - | - | - | 25 | 81 | 29 | 25 | 139 | 134 | 157 | 111 | 60 | 64 | 159 | 91 | 1075 |
| TX | - | 29 | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 36 |
| Mississippi Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AR | 16 | 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 61 | - | - | - | - | 106 |
| IA | 2 | 207 | 120 | 368 | 179 | 36 | 216 | 494 | 347 | 378 | 260 | 296 | 138 | 103 | - | 3144 |
| IL | 119 | 320 | 218 | 230 | 475 | 355 | 134 | 142 | 247 | 266 | 86 | 2 | - | - | - | 2594 |
| LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 14 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 16* |
| MN | 6 | 9 | - | - | - | 5 | - | 16 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 39 |
| MO | - | 5 | 26 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 45 |
| MS | - | 23 | 20 | 18 | 48 | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 133 |
| OK | 128 | 18 | 144 | 15 | 45 | 69 | 73 | 65 | 1656 | 1627 | 1139 | 198 | 629 | 423 | 943 | 7172 |
| TN | 203 | 7 | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 218 |
| WI | 17 | 76 | 163 | 145 | 74 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 496 |
| Missouri Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IA | 11 | - | 50 | 51 | 12 | 141 | - | 14 | 16 | - | 12 | - | - | 16 | 12 | 335 |
| KS | - | 4 | - | 84 | - | 45 | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | 139 |
| MO | 158 | - | - | 1 | - | 11 | 7 | 17 | 19 | - | 1 | 1 | 48 | 123 | - | 386 |
| GP | 752 | 719 | 920 | 626 | 741 | 246 | 330 | 523 | 490 | 496 | 171 | - | 439 | 711 | 757 | 7921 |
| NE | - | 28 | 19 | - | - | 19 | - | 76 | 24 | - | - | - | 117 | 138 | 364 | 785 |
| SD | - | 53 | - | 19 | 4 | 44 | 44 | 18 | 15 | 42 | 23 | - | - | 4 | 19 | 285 |
| Ohio Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IL | 13 | 87 | 177 | 298 | 281 | 256 | 510 | 432 | 400 | 277 | 300 | 499 | 260 | 4 | 8 | 3802 |
| IN | 245 | 428 | 315 | 386 | 326 | 105 | 119 | 31 | 33 | 112 | 540 | - | - | - | - | 2640 |
| KY | 221 | 155 | 183 | 304 | 259 | 753 | 321 | 287 | 2 | 148 | 80 | 134 | 30 | - | 86 | 2963 |
| OH | 6 | 90 | 103 | 36 | 134 | - | - | 132 | 7 | 30 | - | 62 | 53 | 35 | - | 688 |
| PA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | - | - | 7 |
| TN | 105 | 70 | 26 | 16 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 221 |
| WV | - | - | 6 | 29 | 26 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 61 |
| TOTAL | 2031 | 2542 | 2716 | 2719 | 2828 | 2228 | 1853 | 2573 | 3486 | 3584 | 2823 | 1309 | 1782 | 1716 | 2280 | 36470 |

[^1]Table 3. Number of paddlefish collected, marked with coded wire tag and released as part of the MICRA Paddlefish Stock Assessment Program, 1995-2009. "GP" indicates the jointly managed Gavin's Point Dam Fishery.

|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gulf Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LA | - | 177 | 192 | 53 | 39 | 42 | 23 | 53 | 39 | 38 | 14 | - | - | - | - | 670 |
| OK | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| TX | - | 26 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 27 |
| Mississippi Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AR | 7 | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 31 |
| IA | 2 | 188 | 108 | 306 | 153 | 35 | 188 | 442 | 320 | 358 | 238 | 291 | - | - | - | 2629 |
| IL | 119 | 315 | 213 | 216 | 471 | 353 | 134 | 142 | 246 | 256 | 86 | - | - | - | - | 2551 |
| LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 |
| MN | 5 | 9 | - | - | - | 5 | - | 13 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 35 |
| MO | - | 5 | 25 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 44 |
| MS | - | 17 | 20 | 18 | 41 | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 120 |
| OK | - | - | 72 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 73 |
| TN | 203 | 7 | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 218 |
| WI | 17 | 69 | 137 | 90 | 65 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 394 |
| Missouri Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IA | 11 | - | 50 | 51 | 12 | 140 | - | 14 | 16 | - | 12 | - | - | - | - | 306 |
| KS | - | 4 | - | 8 | - | 45 | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | 63 |
| MO | 158 | - | - | 1 | - | 9 | 6 | 6 | 18 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 199 |
| GP | 682 | 686 | 894 | 611 | 711 | 242 | 324 | 457 | 486 | 483 | 165 | - | - | - | - | 5741 |
| NE | 23 | 19 | - | - | - | 19 | - | 73 | - | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | 158 |
| SD | - | 53 | - | 19 | 4 | 44 | 44 | 18 | 14 | 39 | 23 | - | - | - | - | 258 |
| Ohio Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IL | 12 | 85 | 167 | 277 | 271 | 254 | 502 | 179 | 389 | 252 | 287 | 218 | - | - | - | 2893 |
| IN | 245 | 428 | 310 | 359 | 318 | 94 | 104 | 27 | 30 | 40 | 459 | - | - | - | - | 2414 |
| KY | 221 | 145 | 182 | 280 | 242 | 719 | 317 | 242 | - | 137 | 77 | 15 | - | - | - | 2577 |
| OH | 6 | 89 | 102 | 35 | 129 | - | - | 117 | 7 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 489 |
| PA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| TN | 102 | 53 | 21 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 187 |
| WV | - | - | 6 | 28 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 54 |
| TOTAL | 1813 | 2399 | 2500 | 2378 | 2476 | 2026 | 1651 | 1785 | 1592 | 1628 | 1368 | 527 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 22144 |

Table 4. Number of paddlefish collected, marked with a jawtag and released as part of the MICRA Paddlefish Stock Assessment Program, 1995-2009. "GP" indicates the jointly managed Gavin's Point Dam Fishery.

|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gulf Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LA | 28 | 7 | 23 | - | 5 | 14 | 29 | - | 7 | 5 | 21 | 49 | - | - | - | 188* |
| OK | - | - | - | 20 | 72 | 29 | 25 | 107 | 121 | 67 | 78 | 53 | 52 | 134 | 78 | 836 |
| TX | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Mississippi Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| IA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 129 | 99 | - | 228 |
| IL | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| LA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0* |
| MN | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | 13 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20 |
| MO | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| MS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| OK | 99 | 18 | 28 | 13 | 42 | 68 | 65 | 57 | 1571 | 1457 | 1023 | 167 | 576 | 390 | 877 | 6451 |
| TN | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| WI | - | 58 | 133 | 94 | 59 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 360 |
| Missouri Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 9 | 24 |
| KS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| MO | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 94 | - | 96 |
| GP | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 431 | 603 | 723 | 1765 |
| NE | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 116 | 131 | 239 | 486 |
| SD | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | 17 |
| Ohio Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IL | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 296 | 428 | 380 | 271 | 284 | 465 | 251 | 2 | 8 | 2395 |
| IN | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | 30 | 108 | 533 | - | - | - | - | 679 |
| KY | - | - | - | - | 99 | 585 | 316 | 285 | 1 | 137 | 77 | 130 | 29 | - | 80 | 1739 |
| OH | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 132 | 7 | 30 | - | 60 | 44 | 34 | - | 307 |
| PA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| TN | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| WV | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| TOTAL | 135 | 83 | 184 | 127 | 282 | 712 | 740 | 1023 | 2132 | 2075 | 2016 | 924 | 1630 | 1502 | 2031 | 15596 |

[^2]Table 5. Hatchery releases of coded wire tagged paddlefish, 1988-2009.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Pre- } \\ & 1995 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009* | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gulf Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LA | - | 351 | 2,265 | 8,605 | 4,186 | 47,976 | 17,789 | 10,060 | 43,084 | 6,613 | 23,954 | - | 3,837 | - | - | - | 168,720 |
| OK | - | - | - | - | - | 5,757 | 21,216 | 770 | 16,792 | 4,421 | 28,237 | 29,378 | 10,920 | 2,029 | - | - | 119,520 |
| TX | 348,772 | 107,463 | 69,912 | 97,453 | 88,163 | 34,735 | 24,637 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 771,135 |
| Mississippi Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AR | - | - | 707 | - | 16,681 | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4,989 | 22,377 |
| KS | 16,930 | 928 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,857 | 5,970 | 8,074 | - | 3,000 | - | 36,759 |
| LA | - | - | - | - | - | 5,630 | - | 1,778 | - | - | - | 4,326 | 6,412 | - | - | - | 18,146 |
| MO | - | 5,027 | 2,016 | - | 10,710 | 3,509 | 3,631 | 14,973 | - | 5,964 | - | 1,866 | - | 10,449 | 1,000 | - | 59,145 |
| OK | 5,840 | 7,987 | 112 | 10,282 | 2,037 | 8,837 | 3,216 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,028 | 6,296 | - | 45,635 |
| TN | - | - | - | 5,388 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5,388 |
| Missouri Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KS | - | 5,557 | - | - | - | - | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5,657 |
| MO | 39,181 | 21,984 | 17,307 | 5,644 | 37,039 | 40,580 | 18,086 | 130,561 | - | 29,990 | - | 2,725 | - | 54,523 | 233,631 | - | 631,251 |
| ND | - | 9,093 | - | 9,944 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 19,037 |
| SD | 188,161 | 28,934 | 12,436 | 13,821 | 13,271 | 24,256 | 2,510 | - | - | 21,089 | 2,077 | 62,895 | 49,554 | - | - | - | 419,004 |
| Ohio Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KY | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 800 | - | 1,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,800 |
| NY | - | - | - | - | 46 | 535 | 132 | 1,878 | 762 | 778 | 803 | 1,433 | 367 | 177 | - | - | 6,911 |
| PA | - | 8,806 | 6,577 | 13,208 | - | 760 | 10,830 | 8,297 | 5,688 | 1,604 | 6,326 | 11,533 | - | - | 2,712 | - | 76,341 |
| TN | - | 5,816 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 450 | - | 1,326 | - | 7,594 |
| WV | - | 1 | 1,977 | 1,410 | 1,522 | 2 | 125 | 200 | 4,386 | 7,943 | 7,353 | - | 2,003 | 140 | - | - | 27,062 |


| TOTAL | 598,884 | 201,947 | 113,309 | 165,757 | 173,655 | 172,577 | 102,272 | 169,317 | 70,712 | 79,402 | 70,607 | 120,126 | 81,617 | 68,346 | 247,965 | 4,989 | $2,441,482$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*All 2009 data may not yet be submitted..

Table 6. Recaptures of coded wire tagged paddlefish by MICRA partners during biological sampling, 1995-2009. "GP" indicates the jointly managed Gavin's Point Dam Fishery.

|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gulf Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LA | - | - | 3 | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | 18 |
| OK | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 12 | 30 | 47 | 30 | 15 | 116 | 71 | 332 |
| TX | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5* |
| Mississippi Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | - | - | - | - | 18 |
| IA | - | 3 | 4 | 13 | 20 | - | 17 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 7 | - | 143 |
| IL | - | 2 | - | - | 8 | 13 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 6 | - | 1 | - | - | 54 |
| MO | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| MS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| OK | 4 | 5 | 13 | 6 | 24 | 18 | 44 | 49 | 11 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 18 | 3 | 7 | 219 |
| Missouri Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IA | - | - | - | 1 | - | 13 |  | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 16 |
| KS | - | 3 | - | - | - | 10 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 |
| MO | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 4 | - | 7 |
| GP | 3 | 59 | 44 | 100 | 36 | 25 | 33 | 9 | 29 | 46 | 19 | - | 7 | 35 | 9 | 454 |
| NE | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 72 | 131 | 207 |
| SD | - | - | - | 5 | 2 | 11 | 27 | - | - | 22 | 1 | - | - | 4 | 15 | 87 |
| Ohio Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IL | - | 1 | 1 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 87 |
| IN | 1 | 6 | 11 | 21 | 36 | 4 | 4 | - | 2 | 3 | 7 | 3 | - | - | - | 98 |
| KY | - | - | - | - | 2 | 5 | 4 | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | 15 |
| OH | - | 2 | 15 | 2 | 12 | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | 5 | 3 | 1 | - | 52 |
| PA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| TN | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 10 |
| WV | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 8 | 87 | 95 | 163 | 159 | 114 | 145 | 104 | 78 | 130 | 136 | 79 | 65 | 244 | 234 | 1841 |

[^3]Table 7. Recaptures of coded wire tagged paddlefish by sport and commercial anglers, 1995-2009. "GP" indicates the jointly

|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mississippi Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IA | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| IL | 1 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 26 | 3 | 13 | 1 | 6 | 13 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 75 |
| MO | - | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 18 | 50 | 52 | 65 | 93 | 1 | - | - | 296 |
| Missouri Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MO | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 4 | 23 | - | 25 | 61 | 38 | 9 | 16 | 28 | 208 |
| GP | 173 | 166 | 105 | 101 | 157 | 84 | 63 | 49 | 62 | 83 | 114 | 150 | 67 | 142 | 115 | 1631 |
| ND | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Ohio Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IN | - | 5 | 18 | 5 | 4 | 21 | 8 | 17 | 2 | 3 | 15 | 3 | - | - | - | 101 |
| KY | - | - | 6 | 6 | 15 | 13 | 3 | 29 | 14 | 5 | 28 | 7 | - | - | - | 126 |
| OH | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| TN | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 5 |
| TOTAL | 177 | 179 | 139 | 117 | 206 | 122 | 103 | 137 | 134 | 181 | 287 | 291 | 78 | 160 | 144 | 2455 |

Table 8. Recaptures of jawtagged paddlefish by MICRA partners during biological sampling, 1995-2009. "GP" indicates the jointly managed Gavin's Point Dam Fishery.

|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Gulf Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*LA captured an additional 8 jawtagged paddlefish in the Gulf Basin and 2 jawtagged paddlefish in the Mississippi Basin, prior to 1995.

Table 9. Recaptures of jawtagged paddlefish by sport and commercial harvest, 1999-2009. "GP" indicates the jointly managed Gavin's Point Dam Fishery.

|  | Unknown | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mississippi Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KY* | - | - | 2 | - | 5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 1 | - | 34 |
| Missouri Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KY* | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 4 |
| GP | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Ohio Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KY* | - | - | 42 | 31 | 73 | 62 | 41 | 57 | 23 | 16 | 11 | 3 | 359 |
| Unknown Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KY* | 5 | - | 2 | 3 | 18 | 15 | 5 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 50 |
| TOTAL | 5 | 1 | 46 | 34 | 96 | 82 | 53 | 65 | 28 | 23 | 13 | 3 | 449 |

*All but two jawtags (GP) were reported through angler phone-in to Kentucky.

Table 10. Movements of coded wire tagged paddlefish from one basin to another.

| Release <br> Basin | Release River | Release Pool/Site | Release Year | Recapture Basin | Recapture River | Recapture Pool/Site | Recapture Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wild Caught Paddlefish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mississippi | Black |  | 1997 | Ohio | Ohio | Smithland Tailwater | 2003 |
| Mississippi | Mississippi | L \& D 12 - Bellevue | 1999 | Missouri | Missouri | Gavin's Point Dam Tailwater | 2004 |
| Mississippi | Mississippi | 26 - Golden Eagle Ferry | 1998 | Missouri | Missouri |  | 2004 |
| Mississippi | Mississippi | 26 - Golden Eagle Ferry | 1998 | Missouri | Missouri | Gavin's Point Dam Tailwater | 2000 |
| Mississippi | Mississippi | 26 - Golden Eagle Ferry | 2000 | Missouri | Missouri | Gavin's Point Dam Tailwater | 2002 |
| Mississippi | Mississippi | 26 - Golden Eagle Ferry | 2001 | Ohio | Ohio | Smithland Tailwater | 2003 |
| Mississippi | Mississippi | 26-Trail Dike | 2002 | Missouri | Missouri | Gavin's Point Dam Tailwater | 2006 |
| Mississippi | Mississippi | 27 - Melvin Price Dam | 2000 | Missouri | Missouri | Gavin's Point Dam Tailwater | 2005 |
| Mississippi | Mississippi | 27 - Melvin Price Dam | 2004 | Ohio | Ohio | Smithland Tailwater | 2005 |
| Mississippi | Mississippi | Lake Whittington | 1999 | Ohio | Ohio |  | 2002 |
| Missouri | Missouri | Below Gavin's Point Dam | 1995 | Mississippi | Kaskaskia | Kaskaskia Lock \& Dam Tailwater | 1999 |
| Missouri | Missouri | Below Gavin's Point Dam | 1995 | Mississippi | Kaskaskia | Kaskaskia Lock \& Dam Tailwater | 1996 |
| Missouri | Missouri | Below Gavin's Point Dam | 1995 | Mississippi | Kaskaskia | Kaskaskia Lock \& Dam Tailwater | 1998 |
| Missouri | Missouri | Below Gavin's Point Dam | 1995 | Mississippi | Mississippi |  | 1996 |
| Missouri | Missouri | Below Gavin's Point Dam | 1997 | Mississippi | Mississippi |  | 2000 |
| Missouri | Missouri | Below Gavin's Point Dam | 1997 | Mississippi | Mississippi | Chain Of Rocks | 2003 |
| Missouri | Missouri | Below Gavin's Point Dam | 1998 | Ohio | Ohio | Smithland Tailwater | 2006 |
| Missouri | Missouri | Below Gavin's Point Dam | 2003 | Mississippi | Mississippi | Golden Eagle Ferry | 2004 |
| Missouri | Osage | Bagnell Dam | 1995 | Mississippi | Kaskaskia | Kaskaskia Lock \& Dam Tailwater | 1997 |
| Missouri | Osage | Bagnell Dam | 1995 | Mississippi | Kaskaskia | Tailwater | 1999 |
| Missouri | Osage | Bagnell Dam | 1995 | Mississippi | Kaskaskia | Kaskaskia Lock \& Dam Tailwater | 1998 |
| Missouri | Osage | Bagnell Dam | 1995 | Mississippi | Mississippi |  | 2000 |
| Ohio | Ohio | Cannelton-Mcalpine Dam | 1997 | Missouri | Missouri | Gavin's Point Dam Tailwater |  |
| Ohio | Ohio | JT Myers - Hovey Lake | 1998 | Missouri | Missouri | Gavin's Point Dam Tailwater | 2006 |
| Ohio | Ohio | Smithland - JT Myers Dam | 2002 | Missouri | Missouri | Gavin's Point Dam Tailwater | 2005 |
| Hatchery Raised Paddlefish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Missouri | Osage | Truman Lake | 1994 | Ohio | Ohio | Smithland Tailwater | 2006 |

Table 11. Frequency and movements of wild caught and coded wire tagged paddlefish within the basin they were released.

| \# of <br> Events | Release River | Release Pool/Site | Recapture <br> River | Recapture Pool/Site |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gulf Basin |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Mermentau | 1 - Old River Loop | Bayou Nezpique | North Of I-10 |
| 4 | Mermentau | 1- Old River Loop | Mermentau | 1 - Old River Loop |
| 2 | Bayou Nezpique | North Of I-10 | Mermentau | 1 - Old River Loop |
| 1 | Bayou Nezpique | North Of I-10 | Bayou Nezpique | North Of I-10 |
| 1 | Neches | 6 | Neches | \#5 |

Mississippi Basin

| 96 | Mississippi | L \& D 12 - Bellevue | Mississippi | L \& D 12 - Bellevue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76 | Mississippi | Pool 26 | Mississippi | Pool 26 |
| 13 | Mississippi | Lock And Dam 13 | Mississippi | Lock And Dam 13 |
| 10 | Mississippi | 27 - Melvin Price Dam | Mississippi | L \& D 12 - Bellevue |
| 9 | Illinois | Alton - Swan Lake | Mississippi | Pool 26 |
| 9 | Mississippi | Lock And Dam 13 | Mississippi | 14 |
| 6 | Mississippi | 27 - Melvin Price Dam | Mississippi | Pool 26 |
| 5 | Des Moines | Red Rock Reservoir Dam | Des Moines | Red Rock Dam |
| 5 | Mississippi | Lock And Dam 13 | Mississippi | L \& D 12 - Bellevue |
| 4 | Mississippi | 14 | Mississippi | 14 |
| 3 | Illinois | Alton - Swan Lake | Illinois | Alton |
| 2 | Black | 8 | Mississippi | L \& D 12 - Bellevue |
| 2 | Illinois | Alton - Swan Lake | Mississippi |  |
| 2 | Illinois | Alton - Swan Lake | Mississippi | Melvin Price Tailwaters |
| 2 | Mississippi | L \& D 12 - Bellevue | Mississippi | 14 |
| 2 | Mississippi | Pool 26 |  |  |
| 2 | Mississippi | Pool 26 | Mississippi | Melvin Price Tailwaters |
| 2 | Mississippi | 27 - Melvin Price Dam | Kaskaskia | Kaskaskia Lock \& Dam Tailwaters |
| 2 | Black | Below Clearwater Lake | Black | Lower Black River |
| 1 | Cedar | Palisades Kepler | Cedar | Palisades Kepler |
| 1 | Illinois | Alton - Swan Lake | Mississippi | Pool 26 |
| 1 | Illinois | Alton - Swan Lake | Mississippi | Pool 26 |
| 1 | Illinois | Alton - Swan Lake | Mississippi | L \& D 12 - Bellevue |
| 1 | Illinois | Alton - Swan Lake | Mississippi | Walker Dike |
| 1 | Mississippi | L \& D 12 - Bellevue | Mississippi | Lock And Dam 13 |
| 1 | Mississippi | Lyon's Bridge | Mississippi | 14 |
| 1 | Mississippi | Pool 26 | Illinois | Alton |
| 1 | Mississippi | Pool 26 | Mississippi | Below Perry Island |
| 1 | Mississippi | Pool 26 | Mississippi | Chain Of Rocks |
| 1 | Mississippi | Pool 26 | Mississippi | Mason Island |
| 1 | Mississippi | Pool 26 | Illinois | Market Park |
| 1 | Mississippi | 27 - Melvin Price Dam | Illinois | Alton Pool |
| 1 | Mississippi | 27 - Melvin Price Dam | Mississippi |  |
| 1 | Mississippi | 5a-McNally | Mississippi | 14 |


| 1 | Running Reelfoot | - | Kaskaskia | Confluence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Missouri Basin |  |  |  |  |
| 858 | Missouri | Below Gavin's Point Dam - | Missouri | Gavin's Point Dam Tailwater |
| 7 | Big Sioux | I-29 Bridge/Mouth Of Missouri River | Missouri | Gavin's Point Dam Tailwater |
| 5 | Missouri | Below Gavin's Point Dam - | Big Sioux | I-29 Bridge/Mouth Of Missouri River |
| 3 | Missouri | Lake Francis Case - White River Mouth | Missouri | Lake Francis Case |
| 2 | Missouri | Niobrara Confluence | Missouri | Gavin's Point Dam Tailwater |
| 1 | Big Sioux | I-29 Bridge/Mouth Of Missouri River | Big Sioux | I-29 Bridge/Mouth Of Missouri River |
| 1 | James | - James River Chute | Missouri | Gavin's Point Dam Tailwater |
| 1 | Missouri | - Fort Randall Dam | Missouri | Ft Randall Tailwater |
| 1 | Missouri | Below Gavin's Point Dam - | Missouri | Fort Leavenworth |
| 1 | Missouri | Below Gavin's Point Dam - | Missouri | Green Diamond |
| 1 | Missouri | Below Gavin's Point Dam - | Missouri | Hermann |
| 1 | Missouri | Below Gavin's Point Dam - | Missouri | River Mile 713-720 |
| 1 | Missouri | Below Gavin's Point Dam - | Osage | Bagnell Dam |
| 1 | Missouri | Lake Francis Case - White River Mouth | White |  |
| Ohio Basin |  |  |  |  |
| 67 | Ohio | JT Myers - Hovey Lake | Ohio | Hovey Lake |
| 57 | Wabash | New Harmony Bridge | Wabash | New Harmony Bridge |
| 33 | Ohio | JT Myers - Hovey Lake | Ohio | Cannelton Dam Tailwaters |
| 23 | Ohio | Smithland - JT Myers Dam | Ohio | Smithland Tailwater |
| 21 | Great Miami | Markland - Great Miami River Mouth | Great Miami | Markland Pool |
| 20 | Ohio | Markland - Horseshoe Lake | Ohio | Horseshoe Lake |
| 18 | Great Miami | Markland - Great Miami River Mouth | Ohio | Horseshoe Lake |
| 16 | Ohio | Newburgh - Indian Creek Confluence | Ohio | Cannelton Dam Tailwaters |
| 15 | Ohio | Cannelton - McAlpine Dam | Ohio | Cannelton Dam Tailwaters |
| 13 | Ohio | Smithland - JT Myers Dam | Ohio | Cannelton Dam Tailwaters |
| 11 | Ohio | Smithland - JT Myers Dam | Ohio | JT Meyers Dam |
| 9 | Ohio | JT Myers - Hovey Lake | Ohio | JT Meyers Dam |
| 9 | Ohio | JT Myers - Hovey Lake | Ohio | Newburgh Dam Tailwater |
| 8 | Wabash | New Harmony Bridge | Ohio | Smithland Tailwater |
| 6 | Ohio | JT Myers - Hovey Lake | Ohio | Smithland Tailwater |
| 6 | Ohio | Smithland - JT Myers Dam | Ohio |  |
| 5 | Ohio | Smithland - JT Myers Dam | Ohio | Hovey Lake |
| 5 | Wabash | Harmony Dam Island | Ohio | Smithland Tailwater |
| 4 | Ohio | JT Myers - Hovey Lake | Ohio |  |
| 4 | Ohio | JT Myers - Hovey Lake | Ohio | All Over Ohio River |
| 4 | Ohio | Smithland - JT Myers Dam | Ohio | McAlpine Lock \& Dam Tailwaters |


| 3 | Cumberland |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Ohio |
| 3 | Ohio |
| 3 | Ohio |
| 3 | Ohio |
| 3 | Wabash |
| 2 | Cumberland |
| 2 | Cumberland |
| 2 | Ohio |
| 2 | Ohio |
| 2 | Ohio |
| 2 | Ohio |
| 2 | Ohio |
| 2 | Ohio |
| 2 | Ohio |
| 2 | Ohio |
| 2 | Ohio |
| 2 | Ohio |
| 2 | Ohio |
| 2 | Ohio |
| 2 | Ohio |
| 2 | Ohio |
| 2 | Ohio |
| 2 | Ohio |
| 2 | Tennessee |
| 2 | Wabash |
| 1 | Allegheny |
| 1 | Cumberland |
| 1 | Cumberland |
| 1 | Cumberland |
| 1 | Cumberland |
| 1 | Cumberland |
| 1 | Great Miami |
| 1 | Great Miami |
| 1 | Ohio |
| 1 | Ohio |
| 1 | Ohio |
| 1 | Ohio |
| 1 | Ohio |
| 1 | Ohio |
| 1 | Ohio |


| Barkley - South Cross Creek | Cumberland |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cannelton - McAlpine Dam | Ohio |
| JT Myers - Hovey Lake | Wabash |
| Markland - Horseshoe Lake | Ohio |
| Smithland - JT Myers Dam |  |
| Pitcher Lake Drainage | Wabash |
| Cordell Hull Dam | Cumberland |
| Cordell Hull Dam | Cumberland |
| 52 - Smithland Dam | Ohio |
| Cannelton - McAlpine Dam | Ohio |
| Cannelton - McAlpine Dam | Ohio |
| Cannelton - McAlpine Dam | Ohio |
| Cannelton - McAlpine Dam | Ohio |
| JT Myers - Hovey Lake | Ohio |
| JT Myers - Newburgh Dam Tailwater | Ohio |
| JT Myers - Newburgh Dam Tailwater | Ohio |
| Markland - Meldahl Dam | Ohio |
| Markland - Meldahl Dam | Ohio |
| McAlpine - Markland Dam | Ohio |
| Meldahl - Greenup Dam | Ohio |
| Newburgh - Indian Creek Confluence | Ohio |
| Smithland - JT Myers Dam | Ohio |
| Smithland - JT Myers Dam | Ohio |
| Smithland - JT Myers Dam | Wabash |
| Haddox Ferry | Ohio |
| Harmony Dam Island 2 | Wabash |
| Barkley - South Cross Creek | Ohio |
| Barkley Dam |  |
| Ferguson Creek | Cumberland |
| Ferguson Creek | Ohio |
| Old Hickory Dam | Cumberland |
| Markland - Great Miami River Mouth | Ohio |
| Markland - Great Miami River Mouth | Ohio |
| Cannelton - McAlpine Dam | Ohio |
| Cannelton - McAlpine Dam | Ohio |
| Dashields | Ohio |
| Greenup - Byrd Dam | Ohio |
| Greenup - Byrd Dam | Ohio |
| JT Myers - Hovey Lake | Ohio |
| JT Myers - Hovey Lake | Ohio |

South Cross Creek
Hovey Lake
New Harmony Dam
Markland Pool
Pitcher Lake Drainage Cordell Hull Dam
Old Hickory Reservoir
Smithland Tailwater

JT Meyers Dam
Meldahl Dam Tailwaters
New Albany
McAlpine Lock \& Dam
Tailwaters
Cannelton Dam Tailwaters
McAlpine Lock \& Dam Tailwaters

New Albany
Markland Pool
Meldahl Dam Tailwaters
McAlpine Lock \& Dam Tailwaters Alton
Newburgh Dam Tailwater
New Harmony Dam
Smithland Tailwater
New Harmony Bridge
Smithland Tailwater
Ferguson Creek
Smithland Tailwater
Old Hickory Reservoir
Hovey Lake
McAlpine Lock \& Dam
Tailwaters
Kentucky Lake
Newburgh Dam Tailwater Section 3
Markland Pool
New Albany
Horseshoe Lake
Indian Creek Confluence

| 1 | Ohio | JT Myers - Hovey Lake | Ohio | New Albany |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ohio | Markland - Cincinnati Area | Ohio | Markland Pool |
| 1 | Ohio | Markland - Great Miami River | Mouth | Ohio | | Newburgh Dam Tailwater |
| :---: |
| 1 |

Table 12. Frequency and movements of hatchery released, coded wire tagged, paddlefish within the basin they were released.

| \# of Events | Release River | Release Pool/Site | Recapture River | Recapture Pool/Site |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gulf Basin |  |  |  |  |
| 273 | Red | Lake Texoma | Red | Lake Texoma |
| 54 | Red | Lake Texoma | Washita | Lake Texoma |
| 4 | Mermentau | Lake Arthur | Bayou Nezpique | North I-10 |
| 2 | Mermentau | Lake Arthur | Mermentau | Lake Arthur |
| 2 | Sabine |  | Sabine | Toledo Bend Reservoir |
| 1 | Angelina |  | Angelina | Sam Rayburn Reservoir |
| 1 | Mermentau | Lake Arthur | Bayou Nezpique | North I-11 |
| 1 | Neches |  | Neches | \#6 And \#7 |
| 1 | Trinity |  | Trinity |  |
| Mississippi Basin |  |  |  |  |
| 277 | White | Table Rock Lake | White | Table Rock Lake |
| 180 | Verdigris | Oolagah | Verdigris | Oolagah |
| 32 | Arkansas | Kaw Lake | Arkansas | Kaw Lake |
| 17 | White | Beaver Lake | White | Beaver Lake |
| 2 | Black |  | Black | Lower Black River |
| 2 | Verdigris | Oolagah | Graines Creek | Eufaula |
| 1 | Arkansas | Kaw Lake | Verdigris | Oolagah |
| 1 | Arkansas | Kaw Lake | Walnut | Udall |
| 1 | Verdigris | Oolagah | Arkansas | Kaw Lake |
| 1 | Verdigris | Oolagah | South Canadian | Eufaula |
| Missouri Basin |  |  |  |  |
| 598 | Missouri | Lewis and Clark Lake | Missouri | Gavin's Point Dam Tailwater |
| 291 | Missouri | Lake Francis Case | Missouri | Gavin's Point Dam Tailwater |
| 189 | Missouri | Lake Francis Case | Missouri | Fort Randall Dam Tailwater |
| 163 | Blue | Turtle Creek Reservoir | Missouri | Gavin's Point Dam Tailwater |
| 109 | Missouri | Lake Francis Case | Missouri | Lake Francis Case |
| 99 | Osage | Truman Lake | Osage | Truman Lake |
| 75 | Missouri | Lake Francis Case | White |  |
| 31 | Missouri | Lake Francis Case | Missouri | Big Bend Tailwaters |
| 30 | Missouri | Lake Francis Case | Missouri |  |
| 24 | Missouri | Lewis And Clark Lake | Missouri |  |
| 23 | Osage | Lake Of The Ozarks | Osage | Bagnell Dam |
| 18 | Osage | Lake Of The Ozarks | Osage | Lake Of The Ozarks |
| 17 | Osage | Truman Lake | Osage | Lake Of The Ozarks |
| 6 | Blue | Turtle Creek Reservoir |  |  |
| 6 | Blue | Turtle Creek Reservoir | Big Sioux | I-29 Bridge/Mouth Of Missouri River |
| 6 | Blue | Turtle Creek Reservoir | Missouri |  |
| 6 | Blue | Turtle Creek Reservoir | Republican | Milford Dam Spillway |
| 6 | Osage | Truman Lake | Osage | Bagnell Dam |
| 5 | Missouri | Lewis And Clark Lake | Missouri | Fort Randall Dam Tailwater |
| 4 | Osage | Truman Lake | Missouri | Gavin's Point Dam Tailwater |


| 3 | Missouri | Lake Francis Case | Missouri | Green Diamond <br> 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Osage | Lake Of The Ozarks | Missouri | Gavin's Point Dam Tailwater |
| 2 | Blue | Turtle Creek Reservoir | Blue | Turtle Creek Reservoir |
| 1 | Blue | Turtle Creek Reservoir | Missouri | Middle Decatur |
| 1 | Missouri | Lake Francis Case | Republican | Milford Dam Spillway |
| 1 | Missouri | Lewis And Clark Lake |  | Bunyon's Bar |
| 1 | Osage | Lake Of The Ozarks | Osage | Bonnot's Mill |
| 1 | Osage | Truman Lake | Osage | Bonnot's Mill |
| 1 | White |  | Missouri | Gavin's Point Dam Tailwater |
|  |  |  | Ohio Basin |  |
| 5 | Holston | Cherokee Reservoir | Holston | Cherokee Reservoir |
| 4 | Allegheny | Kinzua | Allegheny | Kinzua |
| 1 | Allegheny | Kinzua |  | Dam Tailwater |
| 1 | Allegheny | Kinzua |  | East Side Near State Line |
| 1 | Allegheny | Kinzua | Allegheny | Section 17 |
| 1 | Allegheny | Kinzua | Allegheny | Templeton |
| 1 | Allegheny | Kinzua | Allegheny | Webb's Ferry |
| 1 | Cumberland | Old Hickory Reservoir | Ohio | Smithland Tailwater |
| 1 | Kentucky | Pool 3 | Ohio | Cannelton |
| 1 | Mononaghela | Opiesha | Monongahela | Section 5 |
| 1 | Ohio | Belleville | Ohio | Belleview |
| 1 | Ohio | Belleville | Ohio | Greenup |
| 1 | Ohio | Belleville | Ohio | Meldahl |
| 1 | Ohio | Gallapolis, Greenup | Ohio | Racine Lock |
| 1 | Ohio | Hannibal | Ohio | Hannibal |
| 1 | Ohio | Pool 1 | Monongahela | Section 4 |
| 1 | Ohio | Pool 2 | Allegheny | Section 21 |
| 1 | Ohio | Racine | Little Hocking |  |
| 1 | Allegheny |  | Ohio | McAlpine Tailwater |
| 1 | Ohio | Racine | Ohio | Racine Lock |
|  |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    * Hatchery stocked fish
    ** No sampling occurred in 2002

[^1]:    *LA collected 293 individuals, 1990-1994.

[^2]:    *LA jawtagged 283 individuals prior to 1995

[^3]:    *Two coded wire tag recaptures occurred in LA, Gulf Basin in 1994.

